

AVICENNAE

DE

CONGELATIONE ET CONGLUTINATIONE LAPIDUM

BEING SECTIONS OF THE

KITÂB AL-SHIFÂ'

The Latin and Arabic texts

edited

with an English Translation of the latter and with
critical notes

by

E. J. HOLMYARD, M. A., M. Sc., F. I. C., M. R. A. S.,
Head of the Science Department, Clifton College, Bristol,

and

D. C. MANDEVILLE,
Clifton College

Librairie Orientaliste
PAUL GEUTHNER
13, rue Jacob, PARIS
1927

LIBRAIRIE ORIENTALISTE PAUL GEUTHNER

ALY BEN ABDERRAHMAN BEN HODEIL EL ANDALUSY. *La parure des cavaliers et l'insigne des preux*, traduction française. Précedée d'une étude sur les sources des hippiatres arabes et accompagnée d'appendices critiques sur l'histoire du pur-sang, de l'équitation et des sports hippiques arabes, en Maghreb et en Orient, par LOUIS MERCIER. Avec 23 photographies et 11 dessins d'après des miniatures, *dont 2 en couleurs*, xv, 502 pp., gr. in-8, 1924. 120 fr.

I. Sur la création du cheval, ... sur sa diffusion dans le monde. — II. Sur les vertus mystérieuses des chevaux. — III. Sur l'entretien des chevaux. — IV. Sur les noms que les Arabes donnent aux différentes parties du corps du cheval. — V. Sur les qualités à rechercher dans les différentes parties du corps et les ressemblances qu'il est bon que le cheval présente avec certains animaux. — VI. Sur les robes, signes, pelotes, balzanes et épis. — VII. Sur ce qu'il faut rechercher chez les chevaux. — VIII. Sur les vices naturels et acquis. — IX. De l'épreuve des chevaux, de leur choix, physiognomie du cheval. — X. De l'apprentissage de l'équitation en ses diverses manifestations. — XI. Des courses de chevaux, du peloton à l'arrivée des paris. — XII. Noms des chevaux du Prophète bénit et de quelques-uns des plus réputés parmi les Arabes. — XIII. Termes et noms de choses spéciaux aux chevaux. — XIV. Citations des poètes sur la préférence marquée par les Arabes pour les chevaux plus que pour aucune autre race. — XV. Du sabre. — XVI. De la lance. — XVII. Des arcs et des flèches. — XVIII. Des cuirasses (cottes de mailles, etc.). — XIX. Des boucliers et armes analogues. — XX. Des armes et équipements en général.

Appendices : Dressage et manège. — Origine et subdivisions de la race chevaline arabe. — Sur la saillie et l'âge du cheval. — Des soins, du régime, de l'entraînement. — Description d'une évolution équestre. — Coup d'œil d'ensemble sur l'équitation, le dressage et l'élevage arabes à travers les âges. — *Index bibliographique d'auteurs et traducteurs européens*. — *Index bibliographique d'ouvrages en arabe*. — *Index des termes d'hippologie*. — Table alphabétique des matières.

Parmi les planches hors texte à signaler les 11 dessins d'après les Manuscrits persans de la Bibliothèque nationale. Ces 11 planches représentent une série d'exercices à cheval et de maniement d'armes.

ALY BEN ABDERRAHMAN BEN HODEIL EL ANDALUSY. *La parure des cavaliers et l'insigne des preux, texte arabe*, édité d'après le manuscrit de M. NEHLIL, revu et corrigé sur l'exemplaire de la bibliothèque de l'Escorial par LOUIS MERCIER, viii pp. et 103 pl. en phototypie, in-8 raisin, 1922. 40 fr.

ANDRÉ (P.-J.). L'Islam noir. Contribution à l'étude des confréries religieuses islamiques en Afrique occidentale, suivie d'une étude sur l'islam au Dahomey, 131 pp. pet. in-8, 1924 12 fr. 50

Exposé de la répartition des musulmans en Afrique occidentale. — Historique rapide des origines de l'islamisation en Afrique occidentale. — Les Qadria. — Les Tidjania. — Les Senoussia. — Les Ahmadia. — Conclusions et hypothèses. — Contribution à l'étude des musulmans de Dahomey, particulièrement dans la région de Porto-Novo.

ANDRÉ (P.-J.). L'Islam et les Races. 2 volumes in-8, 1922 50 fr.
TOME PREMIER : *Les Origines, le Tronc et la Greffe*, xxv, 270 pages.

PREMIÈRE PARTIE : Les Origines, Mahomet et le Coran. Chap. I. L'Asie antérieure aux débuts de l'Islamisme (Les nomades — l'état religieux de l'Asie antérieure au vi^e siècle après J.-C.). — Chap. II. La vie de Mahomet (Sa jeunesse et ses débuts de prophète — Mahomet prophète organisateur). — Chap. III. Le Coran (Introduction au Coran — le Coran et ses origines). — Chap. IV. La doctrine musulmane — la loi religieuse — la dogmatique — la morale. — Chap. V. Le Coran : Code (l'islam théocratie — le Coran : Code privé et social). — DEUXIÈME PARTIE : *Le Tronc, le Khalifat et la civilisation arabe*. Chap. VI. L'expansion arabe. — Chap. VII. La civilisation arabe et l'apogée du Khalifat : les études profanes. — Chap. IX. Le déclin. — TROISIÈME PARTIE : *La Greffe, les Turco-Mongols et l'Islam*. Chap. X. La conquête turco-mongole. — Chap. XI. Le Turco-Mongol champion de l'Islamisme. — Chap. XII. La Turquie moderne. — Les aboutissants de la doctrine turco-mongole. — Chap. XIII. La question d'Orient contemporaine.

TOME DEUXIÈME : *Les Rameaux* : Mouvements régionaux et sectes, 325 pages.

PREMIÈRE PARTIE : Les schismes et les sectes. Chap. I. Karedjisme et chysisme. — Chap. II. Le Mysticisme dans l'Islam. — Chap. III. Les transformations mystiques de l'Islam. — Chap. IV. Le fanatisme musulman (la guerre sainte pendant la guerre). — DEUXIÈME PARTIE : *Les mouvements régionaux islamiques issus de l'expansion arabe*. Chap. V. La question arabe. — Chap. VI. L'Egypte. — Chap. VII. L'Afrique du Nord et de l'Islam. — Chap. VIII. L'Islam chez les Noirs. — Chap. IX. L'Abysсинie. — Chap. X. Les Sultanats de l'Océan indien. — Chap. XI. Zanzibar et Madagascar. — Chap. XII. La Croisade des Epices. — TROISIÈME PARTIE : *Les mouvements régionaux islamiques issus de l'expansion turco-mongole*. Chap. XIII. L'Islam en Extrême Orient (l'Islam en Chine — l'Islam en Indo-Chine — l'Islam au Japon). — Chap. XIV. L'Islam en Russie. — Chap. XV. L'Islam dans l'Insulinde. — Chap. XVI. L'Inde musulmane. — Chap. XVII. La Perse, l'Afghanistan, le Beloutchistan.

CARRA DE VAUX (Baron). *Les Penseurs de l'Islam*, 5 volumes, petit in-8, 1921-1926 150 fr.

TOME I^{er} : *Les Souverains, l'Histoire et la Philosophie politique*, VII et 333 pages, 1921.

Les Souverains : el-Mansour, Haroun er-Réchid, el-Mamoun, Saladin, Houlagou, Mahomet II, Soliman, Tamerlan, Mahmoud le Ghaznévide, Bâber, Akbar, Djhanguir, Shah Abbas, Nadir Shah. — *Les historiens arabes* : Tabari, Masoudi, Ibn Miskaweh, le Fakhri, Zaydan, Ibn el-Athir, Ousâma, Aboul-Féda, Makrizi, Makkari. — *Les historiens Persans et les historiens des Mongols* : Firdousi, Mustaufi, Mirkhond, Rachid ed-Din, Nasîrî Khosrau, Nésâwi, Abou'l-Ghâzi. — *Les historiens turcs* : Sa'd ud-din, Na'imâ, Hadji Khalfa, mémoires de Barberousse, Eviya Efendi, Méhémet Efendi, Wasif Efendi, Ahmed Djevad. — *La philosophie politique* : Mâwerdi, Ibn Khaldoun, Djâhiz, Nizâm el-Moulk, About Fazl, l'Ain-i-Akkari. — *Les proverbes et les contes* : Antar, Nâbigah, Zamakhchari, Méidâni, Gnomes de Medjîdoub, Lokman, Kalilah, les Mille et Une Nuits.

TOME II : *Les Géographes, les Sciences mathématiques et naturelles*, 400 pages, 1921.

Les géographes : Ja'koubi, Edrisi, Abou'l-Fédâ, Jâkout, Kazwîni, Dimichqui. — *Les marins et la*

AVICENNAE

DE

CONGELATIONE ET CONGLUTINATIONE LAPIDUM

AVICENNAE
DE
CONGELATIONE ET CONGLUTINATIONE LAPIDUM
BEING SECTIONS OF THE
KITÂB AL-SHIFÂ'
The Latin and Arabic texts
edited
with an English Translation of the latter and with
critical notes
by
E. J. HOLMYARD, M. A., M. Sc., F. I. C., M. R. A. S.,
Head of the Science Department, Clifton College, Bristol,
and
D. C. MANDEVILLE,
Clifton College

Librairie Orientaliste
PAUL GEUTHNER
13, rue Jacob, PARIS
1927

PREFACE.

In an article entitled *Das sogenannte IV. Buch der Meteorologie des Aristoteles* Ingeborg Hammer-Jensen has expressed very clearly the subject of the present book. After dealing with certain writings ascribed to Aristotle, Hammer-Jensen says : — “ Im Mittelalter war aber ein anderes mineralogisches Schriftchen unter dem Namen des Aristoteles im Umlaufe : *liber de mineralibus Aristoteles*. In den Handschriften der lateinischen Übersetzungen des Gerard von Cremona findet sich eine Subskription, zufolge der das IV. Buch schon vor Gerard von Cremona von Hermann übersetzt war, *tria vero ultima Avicennae capitula transtulit Aurelius de arabico in latinum*. Die genannten drei Kapitel sind als *liber de congelatione (conglutinatione)* bald unter dem Namen des Avicenna, bald unter dem des Geber gedruckt, aber auch mehrmals als *liber de mineralibus Aristotelis*, so z. B. in Bologna 1501. Ferner hat die lateinische Handschrift 16142 in der Bibliothèque Nationale von Paris, eine Uebersetzung der vier Bücher der Meteorologie, einen mineralogischen oder vielmehr geologischen Anhang, welcher zum Teil mit dem obengenannten Schriftchen identisch ist. F. de Mély ist auf diesen Anhang aufmerksam geworden, hat gesehen, dass das Stück sämtliche von Vincent de Beauvais angeführte Citate “ des IV. Buchs der Meteorologie ” enthält und hat den Text in der Rev. des Etudes Grecques 1894, p. 185 ff. veröffentlicht. F. de Mély meint hier ein ursprüngliches Stück des III. Buchs der Meteorologie, dessen lückenhaften Schluss er erwähnt, entdeckt zu haben ; er nimmt viele Interpolationem seitens der Araber an, lässt sich aber in dem was er selbst *cette rapide étude* nennt, auf keine nähere Behandlung der Frage ein. ”

In the following pages we have shown that *liber de mineralibus*

Aristotelis is a translation — more or less satisfactory and not always complete — of passages occurring in Avicenna's great work *The Book of the Remedy*. We hope, therefore, to have finally settled a problem which has engaged the attention of many scholars, and to have thrown light upon a somewhat obscure region of Aristotelian criticism. The *Mineralia*, moreover, is intrinsically interesting to the historian of science, not merely for its contents but because it is one of the few mediaeval translations of Muslim scientific works of which we possess the original Arabic text.

Our attention was first drawn to the *Mineralia* by Al-Jildaki's statement that Avicenna had expressed his views on alchemy in his *Book of the Remedy* (*Kitāb al-Shifā'*), but having read Prof. Wiedemann's remark that Prof. Horten of Bonn had found nothing about alchemy in the *Shifā'*, we were inclined to think that Al-Jildaki — although usually very reliable — had been mistaken. An examination of the *Mineralia*, however, showed us that some of the *dicta* quoted by Al-Jildaki were undoubtedly given there in Latin dress, so we were led to investigate the *Shifā'* itself, in the India Office MS. We then found that the whole of the *Mineralia* had been translated from certain chapters of the *Shifā'*, including the celebrated passage beginning *Sciunt artifices alchemiae*.

When our work was already well advanced, Professor H. E. Stapleton, of Presidency College, Calcutta, wrote to tell us that he had himself, many years previously, made the same discovery. On comparing the Latin text with the Arabic text of a lithographed edition published at Teheran, he says, "the identity of the two was found to be so obvious that although all the portions of the Shifa corresponding to the Mineralia were translated for checking purposes, nothing further was thought necessary than a brief reference to the original authority" (*vide* Stapleton and Azo, *Memoirs A. S. B.*, III, 1910, p. 59, note 2). It is clear, therefore, that credit for priority

must be given unreservedly to Prof. Stapleton ; though we think that the reference mentioned above — which merely states that, in the *Shifā'*, Avicenna argued that as we do not know the specific differences between the metals, transmutation is impossible — cannot easily be understood to imply any connection between the *Shifā'* and the *Mineralia*.

When Professor Stapleton heard of our proposal to publish the present book, he most generously placed at our disposal his own translation of some of the passages in the *Shifā'*, his notes on the Latin text, many other notes, and a copy of the Latin version as given in the Bologna edition of 1501 collated with that of the Lyons edition of 1528. It is unnecessary for us to say that we deeply appreciate this help, which has been invaluable. Our translation of the Arabic text has, however, been made independently ; it was afterwards compared with Prof. Stapleton's version, from which we have taken certain phrases while in other places we have found ourselves unable to agree with it. Prof. Stapleton's version was available only from the beginning of *Caput II* of the Latin rendering, as he was unable to find his translation of the passages corresponding to *Caput I*.

We have pleasure in expressing our thanks also to Mrs. Singer, who told us of the Trinity College MSS. of the *Mineralia*, and to the following gentlemen : — The Librarian of the India Office, for a lengthy loan of a splendid MS. of the *Shifā'* ; the Librarian of Trinity College, the Trustees of the British Museum, and the Librarian of the Bodleian Library, for allowing us to have rotographs made of MSS. in the libraries under their control ; Mr. W. L. Cooper, Librarian of the University of Bristol, for the trouble he took to procure for us books which we wished to consult and which were not readily available ; Dr. C. van Arendonk, Librarian of the University of Leyden, for having made for us a rotograph of a MS. of a work by Al-Tughrā'i (*Haqā'iq al-Istishhād*) ; Muhammad Qâsim Bey, of the

Physical Department, Cairo, for obtaining copies of MSS.; Maḥmūd Efendi Ḥamdy, of the Royal Library, Cairo, for copying for us the work by Al-Tughrā'ī mentioned above; Mr. Robert Steele, for references to Avicenna in Roger Bacon; Sir Robert Hadfield, Bt., F. R. S., and Professor R. A. Nicholson, for answering queries about meteoric iron and its possible use for swords among the Arabs; and finally Monsieur Paul Geuthner, for undertaking the publication of the book.

E. J. HOLMYARD.
D. C. MANDEVILLE.

Clifton College, Bristol.
JULY, 1927.

N. B. For the Arabic text only one of us is responsible (E. J. H.).

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| PREFACE | v |
| Introduction | 1 |
| English Translation of the Arabic Text | 17 |
| Latin Text. | 45 |
| Select Bibliography | 57 |
| Index of Persons | 59 |
| Subject Index | 64 |
| Arabic Text | 69 |

LOUVAIN (Belgique) -- IMPRIMERIE J.-B. ISTAS.

INTRODUCTION.

At the end of the third book of the *Meteorologica*, Aristotle distinctly promises a book Ηερὶ μετάλλων or Ηερὶ λίθων⁽¹⁾, but no Greek text of such a book is extant. Scholars have, however, found it difficult to make up their minds as to whether Aristotle fulfilled his promise or not, since mediaeval Latin versions⁽²⁾ of the *Meteorologica* often append to the Fourth Book an additional chapter, in three paragraphs⁽³⁾, entitled *De Mineralibus*. Here the promised subject is dealt with in such a masterly fashion that many critics have not hesitated to ascribe it to Aristotle. That this chapter is not a direct rendering of a Greek original is evident from the fact that it contains Arabic proper names⁽⁴⁾; for the same reason it follows that the Arabic version itself cannot have been a literal translation of a Greek text. The problem is then to decide whether the *Mineralia* contains a genuine Aristotelian nucleus, and if so to free it from the accretions which enclose it.

F. de Mély⁽⁵⁾, from a study of the Latin text, concluded that the *Mineralia* contained fragments of an authentic Aristotelian chapter Ηερὶ λίθων, and, subjecting the text to a critical analysis, believed that he had been able to distinguish between these passages and subsequent additions⁽⁶⁾. Omitting the latter, he says, “quels sont

1. III. 6. 378 b. 5. — κοινῇ μὲν οὖν εἰργηται περὶ αὐτῶν ἀπάντων, οὐδὲ δὲ σκεπτέουν προχειριζομένοις περὶ ἔχοστον γένος.

2. E. g., Bibliothèque nationale, MS. latin 16142 — and many others.

3. Viz., *de congelatione et conglutinatione lapidum*; *de causa montium*; *de quatuor speciebus corporum mineralium*.

4. E. g., Lurgeam, Coracem.

5. Le Lapidaire d'Aristote, *Revue des Etudes grecques*, VII, 1894, 181-191.

6. The passages which he rejected are as follow, the references being to pages in the present hook :

(i). Sed argentum vivum est de parte secunda, quamvis sit elementum ductilium, et aliquibus ductilibus simile. (Page 50.)

les aperçus de génie qui nous restent ? Ne parlons pas de cette théorie d'un corps unique, origine de tous les minéraux, car elle est de tous les pays ; nous ne saurions trop insister sur ce point. Pour la première fois nous trouvons ici une tentative d'explication scientifique de la formation des météorites, bien différente de celle que Sénèque présentera plus tard ; l'auteur indique l'origine platonienne et néptunienne des montagnes ; de cette dernière formation, il tire l'explication absolument scientifique des corps d'animaux marins fossilisés trouvés sur les montagnes, — pendant tout le moyen âge les os de mammouths n'étaient autres que des os de géants, de Teutobocchus, on discutait encore du temps de Voltaire ; — enfin il signale le changement continu de l'aspect terrestre, la formation de nouveaux rivages les alluvions, tout cela traité avec ce talent d'observation qui justifie l'influence qu'a exercée sur notre époque, à plus de deux mille ans de distance, l'heureuse impulsion donnée à l'esprit humain par le philosophe de Stagire. »

Hammer-Jensen, dealing with the same problem, remarks (¹) : « Freilich ist herein mehr Unaristotelisches, als F. de Mély meinte, aber sicherlich findet man hier auch Reste, und einen sehr bemerkenswerten Rest des echten IV. Buches der Meteorologie Wenn wir annehmen, dass das IV. Buch der Meteorologie theoretische Erörterungen über das Entstehen der verschiedenen Steine (darunter Meteorsteine und Fossilien) und Metalle, und etwas von den verschiedenen Fundorten, dazu ein Stück wie das vom Entstehen der Berge enthalten hat, bekommen wir ein Bild dieses Buches, das ganz den drei anderen Büchern entspricht. »

(ii). In ripis quoque Gyon, visa est terra, quae dicitur in lapides converti in spatio triginta triunn annorum. (Page 45.)

(iii). Sunt enim certa loca super quae aquae effusae convertuntur in lapides qui diversorum colorum sunt. (Page 45.)

(iv). coagulans virtute occultâ sunt etiam multa alia quibus coagulant quae liquefaciunt certissime. (Page 46.)

(v). Est que locus in Arabia tamen causae eorum manifestae sunt. (Page 47.)

(vi). Et in Persia quoque cadunt in coruscationibus apud Tepestren. (Page 47.)

1. *Das sogenannte IV. Buch der Meteorologie des Aristoteles.* Hermes, L, 1915, pp. 113-136.

If we now turn to the history of the Latin versions of the *Meteorology* we shall find that the true origin of the *Mineralia* was more than once stated in the manuscripts themselves. According to F. H. Fokes (¹), "there were current at the end of the Middle Ages two complete Latin versions of Aristotle's *Meteorology* — the "Vetus Versio", of which books i to iii were from the Arabic and book iv was from the Greek, and the "Nova", wholly from the Greek Of the Vetus Versio, a work of the twelfth century, the first three books were probably based upon the ninth-century Arabic version (from from the Syriac) connected with the names of Aboul Kheir el Hassan ben Saouar and Iabya ben Bathriq, and were unanimously assigned to Gerard of Cremona († 1187); the fourth book, from the Latin, was assigned by a frequently recurring explicit to "Henricus", whom Jourdain showed to have been at least as early as Albertus Magnus and Vincent of Beauvais, and whom Rose, on the basis of the explicit of the Nuremberg MS centur. V. 59, which Jourdain did not know, has identified with Henricus Aristippus († 1162), minister of William I of Sicily. I quote this explicit in full from Rose : *Complectus est liber metheororum cuius tres primos libros transtulit magister Gerardus Lumbardus summus philosophus de arabico in latinum. Quartem autem transtulit Henricus Aristippus de greco in latinum. Tria ultima capitula transtulit Aluredus Anglieus sarensis de arabico in latinum.*"

It is thus clear (a) that the last three chapters were an addition and (b) that they were translated by a different hand. Moreover, Fokes (²) quotes a note from the Oxford MS Digby 153 (f. 28) which throws further light on the problem : —

Ultimum capitulum in antiqua translacione quod sic incipit 'terra pura lapis non sit' non est capitulum aristotelis sed additum ab aluedo, ut dicit bacun in sua naturali philosophia capitula secundo

1. *Mediaeval Versions of Aristotle's Meteorology*. Classical Philology, vol. X, July, 1915, pp. 297-314.

2. *Ibid.*

secundum albertum 3 mineralium c. 9, et contraria per totum librum suum patet quod illum capitulum est avicenne.

This very definite statement that the *Mineralia* is not the work of Aristotle but of Avicenna was questioned very early, for in his *Margarita Preciosa Novella*, written in 1330, Bonus says (¹) *Item Aristoteles in fine 4. Metaphysicorum (sic), secundum translationem veterum, Alchemiam esse veram, expressè negat, sed eam esse sophistis et phantasticam affirmat : quamvis quidam dicant, illa verba fuisse Avicennae, qui ipsa addidit, quod non credimus.* Nearly six centuries later, de Mély (²) writes : « Le traducteur a pris soin, malgré lui certainement, de nous laisser une trace palpable de l'origine grecque du traité. Il est un mot, en effet, qu'aucun des éditeurs ou traducteurs n'a reproduit identiquement, et cela parce qu'ils ne le comprenaient pas : Vincent de Beauvais l'écrivit *optesis*, Geber, *ephthesis*, Manget, *cptesis*. Ce n'est ni un mot latin, ni un mot arabe, mais certainement l' $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\phi\eta\sigma\varsigma$ (³), l'action de faire cuire, mot dont Aristote s'est continuellement servi dans les *Météores* ; mot assez complexe d'ailleurs, puisque l'*optesis* est bien l'action de faire cuire, mais en grillant, tandis que l'*ephtesis* est également la cuisson, mais en bouillant ; donc cuisson sèche et cuisson humide correspondant aux exhalaisons sèches et exhalaisons vaporeuses. Enfin, pour montrer l'origine aristotélique et non avicénienne de ce traité, je demanderai encore aux Arabes un nouvel argument. Le manuscrit arabe supplément 1845, de la Bibliothèque nationale : *Le présent des frères de la pureté et de la sincérité*, au milieu de nombreuses citations d'Aristote, contient un chapitre des *Minéraux* et nous y lisons : ‘Les minéraux sont divisés en trois classes en raison de leur plus ou moins de promptitude à se reconstituer à l'état parfait ou définitif. Ils sont aussi considérés au point de vue de leur consistance et de leur aptitude à la fusion. Ils

1. Manget, *Bibliotheca Chemica Curiosa*, 1702, ii, p. 14.

2. *Loc. cit.*

3. As a matter of fact, we find that this word does not occur in the original (Arabic) text, but must have been used by Alfred the Englishman to translate the Arabic $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\phi\eta\sigma\varsigma$, which bears approximately the same meaning.

proviennent de liquides souterrains inégalement soumis à l'action du chaud et du froid. Les montagnes soulevées au sein des eaux par des vapeurs intestines, se fragmentent et les eaux repoussées se nivellent et dessinent les contours des contrées'. N'est-ce pas, en quelques lignes, un résumé du traité de Mineris ... ? »

There, then, we have the two opposing views, one maintaining that the *Mineralia* is, or rather contains, a genuine work of Aristotle's, and the other asserting that it is not Aristotelian but Avicennian in origin. Against the second of these two opinions the fact that in the Middle Ages many alchemical books ascribed to Avicenna were current must have told heavily ; it would have appeared incredible that the man who denied the possibility of transmutation in the *Mineralia* should have written other books in which he not merely accepts the truth of alchemy but describes the philosophers' stone with circumstantial detail. On the other hand, we shall find that the very views which are expressed in the *Mineralia* are unanimously attributed to Avicenna by Muslim authors, who presumably were in a better position to speak with authority on the matter.

Ibn Khaldûn, the greatest philosophic historian of Islâm, may be quoted first. In his *Prolegomena* (¹) he says : " Sachez maintenant que la pratique de cet art [alchimie] date des temps les plus reculés, et que les anciens en ont traité ainsi que les modernes. Nous allons exposer leurs doctrines, et nous donnerons ensuite notre opinion touchant la réalité du grand œuvre Donc nous dirons que les doctrines émises par les philosophes à ce sujet dérivent de l'une ou de l'autre des deux théories qu'ils se sont faites au sujet de la nature des sept métaux les plus communs, savoir : l'or, l'argent, le plomb, l'étain, le cuivre, le fer et le zinc. Y a-t-il entre ces métaux des différences spécifiques, de sorte que chacun d'eux forme une espèce à part? ou bien diffèrent-ils par leurs qualités particulières de manière à n'être que des variétés d'une même espèce? Selon Ibn Sînâ (Avicenne) et les philosophes de l'Orient ses disciples, les métaux se

1. French translation by de Slane, iii, 254-6.

distinguent par des différences spécifiques, et chacun d'eux forme une espèce séparée et indépendante des autres, espèce qui se laisse constater par des caractères réels. Cette espèce, comme toutes les autres, a sa différence et son genre particuliers. Abou Nasr el-Farabi (¹), ayant admis comme principe que les métaux appartiennent tous à une même espèce, inféra de là la possibilité de convertir un métal dans un autre, puisqu'il est possible d'en changer les accidents et de le traiter par des opérations (chimiques). A son point de vue, l'alchimie serait un art réel et facile à exercer. Ibn Sinâ, ayant adopté pour système que les métaux diffèrent en espèce, déclara que l'existence de l'alchimie comme un art réel et véritable était impossible. ‘Car, disait-il, il n'y a pas moyen de soumettre les différences spécifiques aux opérations (de la chimie) ; les différences ont été créées par Dieu, créateur et ordonnateur de toutes choses ; leur véritable nature nous est inconnue et nous ne pouvons pas en former même une idée. Comment alors peut-on chercher à changer ces différences par des manipulations ? ’ »

If this passage is compared with the following extract from the Latin version of the *Mineralia* (²) it will be seen that the correspondence is very close : — *Cacterum quod differentia specifica tollatur ingenio, non credo possibile, et non est quod complexio una in aliud convertatur : quia ista sensibilia non sunt differentia, qua permutatur species, sed sunt accidentia, et proprietates. Differentiae autem eorum non sunt cognitae, quia et cum differentia sit ignota, quomodo potest sciri utrum tollatur necne, vel quomodo tolli possit ?*

The evidence does not end here, however. Ḥājjī Khalīfa states (³) that Ibn Sinâ denied the truth of alchemy in the Prolegomena to his *Book of the Remedy* (*Kitāb al-Shifā'*), while Al-Jildakî († circa 1360

1. Celebrated Muslim disciple of Aristotle ; died 950 A. D.

2. Cf. page 54.

3. *Lexicon Bibliographicum*, ed. Fluegel, V, 270. — Homines in ea (alchemy) judicanda in duas abeunt partes. Multi eorum statuunt, eam minime ad effectum adduei posse. Ita statuit Sheikh Reyis Ibn Sinâ, qui eam vanam et inanem esse in prolegomenis libri *Shefā* demonstrat.

A. D.) has the following passage in his book entitled *The Guarded Pearl* (*Al-Durr al-Maknún*) (¹) : — “ ‘Alî ibn Sînâ denied the existence of alchemy in the *Shifâ*, and so did Al-Bîrûnî in his *Lapidary* (*Kitâb al-Aljâr*) ; Abû Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyâ al-Râzî, however, in his *Book of the Twelve* (*Kitâb al-Athnâ ‘Ashrîyât*), and Ibn Arfa‘ Ra’s, in his poem entitled *The Particles of Gold* (*Dîwân Shudhîr al-Dhahab*), maintained its reality ». Again, in his commentary (*Nihâyat al-Tabâb, The End of the Search*) upon the *Cultivation of Gold* (*Kitâb al-‘Ilm al-Muktasab fi Zirâ‘at al-Dhahab*) of Ahu'l-Qâsim al-‘Irâqî, Al-Jildaki says : “ Avicenna considered that each of the six metals was a distinct species of one genus, just as the genus plant includes different species, and the genus animal likewise. And in the same way that it is impossible to convert a horse into a dog or a bird into a horse, or a man into a bird, so it is impossible to convert silver into gold or copper into silver or lead into iron He believed, however, that it was possible to dye copper white, and thus to give it the appearance and colour of silver, but it would still be copper, only dyed ; and to dye silver red and thus to give it the appearance and colour of gold, but it would still be silver, only dyed, and not gold. The white dye, he believed, was extracted from arsenic, mercury and silver, and the red from sulphur, gold and sal-ammoniac, and also from certain plants and animals. »

Finally, reference may be made to Berthelot, *La Chimie au Moyen Âge* (²), where the origin of the *Mineralia* is correctly surmised : — “ On lit dans ces traductions latines, sous le nom d’Avicenne un opuscule [*Avicennae de Congelatione et Conglutinatione Lapidum*] relatif à la formation des pierres et des montagnes, lequel renferme des vues remarquables sur la double production de celles-ci par soulevement et par action de l’eau, ainsi que sur l’origine des fossiles.

1. The quotations from Al-Jildaki are taken from MSS. in the possession of one of us. These MSS. are modern copies of others preserved in the Royal Library at Cairo.

2. Tome iii, p. 225 (Paris, 1893).

Il y est question d'un aérolithe ou pierre tombée du ciel (*apud Lurgeom*), dont un roi voulut se faire fabriquer des épées. Or ce récit figure également dans un ouvrage arabe qui porte le nom d'Avicenne et qui est intitulé : *La Guérison*. L'auteur y parle d'un aérolithe tombé dans le Djordjan, dont le sultan Mahmoud Ghizni voulut se faire fabriquer une épée, lui attribuant sans doute des propriétés merveilleuses. C'est l'exemple rare d'un texte arabe actuellement existant et qui figure dans les collections alchimiques latines du moyen âge. La concordance mérite donc d'être notée. »

This brief review of the problem prepares us for the truth of the matter, which is that the *Mineralia* is partly a direct translation and partly a *résumé* of sections of a genuine work of Avicenna, *viz.*, the *Kitâb al-Shifâ'*, the "Book of the Remedy", which he composed in response to his friend Al-Juzjâni's request that he should write a general commentary on Aristotle's works. He was too busy to write a formal commentary, but compromised by writing a plain exposition free from any attempt at refutation of adverse views. He had already written the first book of his great *Canon* of Medicine, and thereafter worked at the *Shifâ'* and *Canon* simultaneously. At this period he was living at Hamadhân under the protection of the Buwayhid prince Shams al-Daula (died 1021 A. D.), whose vizier he was. After the death of Shams al-Daula, Avicenna secretly left Hamadhân and was honourably received at Ispahân by the Kakwayhid 'Alâ al-Daula Abû Ja'far Muhammâd ibn Dushmânzâr, who annexed Hamadhân in 1023 by the deposition of Samâ al-Daula, son of Shams al-Daula. It was during the time of his stay with 'Alâ al-Daula that the *Shifâ'* was finished, and according to Ibn Abi Uşaybi'a the chapters which particularly interest us, *viz.* those on Physics, were composed after the death of Shams al-Daula (1021) but before Avicenna went to Ispahân (probably 1023). We are therefore able to date them very precisely (¹).

1. Cf. Baron Carra de Vaux, *Avicenne* (Paris, 1900), p. 145 etc.

The Arabic version.

The Latin rendering is divided into three Chapters, *viz.* *de conge-*
lutione et conglutinatione lapidum (a title by which the whole work
is often known); *de causa montium*; and *de quatuor speciebus corpo-*
rum mineralium. The first two of these are translations, full or
abridged, of Section 1 of Discourse II of Subject (*fann*) V. of the
Physics of the *Shifā'*. The third, *de quatuor speciebus corporum mine-*
ralium, is a translation of Section 4 of the same Discourse. *Fann* V
as a whole consists of two Discourses (*magāla*) upon meteorological
phenomena understood in the Aristotelian sense of the term, Sections
1 and 4 dealing with topics which Aristotle would no doubt have
treated in the Fourth Book of his *Meteorologica* if he had ever com-
pleted it.

The Latin Translation.

We have seen that it is possible to date the *Shifā'* very accurately, and fortunately we are in a position to date the translation almost as closely. From the explicit of the Nuremberg MS. to which reference has already been made (¹), we know that the first three books of the *Meteorologica* were translated by Gerard of Cremona from the Arabic; the fourth book, from the Greek, was translated by Henricus Aristippus. To the fourth book were added the three chapters which form the subject of the present discussion, and we are definitely told (²) that these were translated from the Arabic by Alfred the Englishman or Alfred of Sareshel (³). Now Alfred translated also the pseudo-Aristotelian treatise *De vegetabilibus*, and dedicated his translation

1. Page 3.
2. *Loc. cit.*
3. For Alfred the Englishman, *cf.* D. N. B., vol. I, p. 285; Haskins, *Studies in Mediaeval Science*, pp. 128 ff., etc.

to Roger of Hereford ('). According to Lynn Thorndike ('), the definite dates in Roger of Hereford's life seem to fall in the decade 1170-1180, though his association with Alfred may well have been later.

In the commentary to the *De vegetabilibus*, Alfred cites a *Liber de congelatis* which he had translated from the Arabic as an appendix of three "chapters" to the *Meteorologia*. There can be no hesitation in identifying the *De congelatis* with the *Mineralia Avicennae*, and we may therefore conclude that the translation of this work was made toward the end of the twelfth century, and prior to that of the *De vegetabilibus*. In a subsequent work, entitled *De motu cordis*, Alfred shows a much wider acquaintance with Aristotle than in the *De vegetabilibus*, so that the latter is presumably the earlier of the two works. The *De motu cordis* was dedicated to Alexander Neckham, who died in 1217, so assuming that the *De vegetabilibus* was earlier than the *De motu cordis*, we have a *terminus ad quem* for the date of the *Liber de congelatis*. In round numbers, 1200 A. D. may be taken as the most probable time, with the proviso that it may have been two or three decades earlier or, less probably, a few years later (').

It is surprising how quickly the origin of the *Mineralia* was forgotten. Thus, while Albertus Magnus knew that the translation was made from Avicenna, Roger Bacon seems to have been very hazy on the point; in his *Breve Breviarum* he quotes the passage *Terra pura etc.* as Aristotle's, in the *Tractatus Trium Verborum* he ascribes it to Gerard of Cremona, and only in 1266 or thereabouts does he find that it was an addition of Alfred's, still apparently in ignorance of its Avicennian origin — (*Communia Naturalium*, lib. 1) : "Et taceant stulti qui abutuntur autoritate illa in fine prime translationis Meteororum, quam contra veritatem allegant, dicentes scriptum esse *sciant*

1. According to Haskins, *op. cit.*, p. 128, footnote 47, a copy in the library of the University of Barcelona reads; — *Incipit liber de plantis quem Alveredus de arabico transtulit in latinum mittens ipsum magistro Rogero de Hersodia.*

2. *History of Magic*, 1923, ii, p. 182.

3. The information in this paragraph has been taken from Haskins, *op. cit.*, pp. 128, 129. *De vegetabilibus* was probably written by Nicholas of Damascus.

artifices etc. ac si uset verbum Aristotelis, cum nichil ejus sit a principio illius capituli *Terra pura lapis non fit* etc. set additum ab Alveredo. » (¹)

Nature of the Book.

From a scientific point of view, Ibn Sînâ's opinions upon the formation of stone, rocks and mountains are remarkably interesting, in that they show an astonishingly accurate insight into geological phenomena. They are so clearly and concisely expressed that we have felt it unnecessary to give a synopsis, preferring that Ibn Sînâ should be allowed to speak for himself. Similar remarks apply to his theories upon the nature of minerals, and particularly to his ruthless criticism of the alchemists and their attempts to transmute the base metals into gold. On the latter subject he was taken to task by the celebrated vizier Al-Tughrâ'i, who wrote his *Haqâ'iq al-Istishhâd* with the definite aim of refuting the heretical opinions of the *Shifâ'*. The arguments of the *Haqâ'iq al-Istishhâd* are of the usual type, so that no good service would be performed in reproducing them here. Ibn Sînâ appears to have made up his mind only late in life; it is possible that at an earlier period he actually wrote books in favour of alchemy. However this may be, he had, in the *Shifâ'*, given the alchemists a blow the repercussions of which were felt throughout many succeeding centuries — the passage beginning *Sciant artifices alchimiae* is quoted innumerable times in mediaeval alchemical literature.

Ibn Sînâ's "Book of Definitions".

In the section of the *Shifâ'* with which we are concerned in the present book, Ibn Sînâ uses many philosophical-scientific terms, such as *جَرْبَة* and *صَفَرَة*, which are very frequently employed in alchemy. For this reason, coupled with the fact that the exact meanings of the

1. For these references to Bacon's works, we are indebted to Mr. Robert Steele.

terms are not always readily available, we would draw attention to Ibn Sīnā's *Kitāb al-Hudūd*, or *Book of Definitions*, in which the need is admirably met. This work exists in Arabic in the India Office Library, MS. Loth 771, and the greater portion of it was translated into Latin by Andreas Alpagus, who died about 1520. The translation was first published in 1540, at Venice, in a volume which contains other works of Avicenna rendered into Latin by the same hand.

SOURCES.

A. Arabic Text.

A list of the chief MSS. of the *Shifā'* is given by Brockelmann in his *Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur*, I, 454. The complete work has never been printed in a European edition, though selections from *Fann 9* of the first *Jumla* are given by Margoliouth in his *Analecta Orientalia ad Poeticam Aristoteleam*, published in London in 1887. The second and fourth books of the *Shifā'*, treating of physics and metaphysics respectively, were published in a lithographed edition at Teheran in 1885, with marginal notes to the first part of the second book by Āqā Jamāl al-Dīn Khwānsārī, and to the whole of the fourth by Mullā Ṣadrā and others. Latin versions of various sections of the work were comparatively frequently printed in the sixteenth century; thus in the Venice edition of 1508 are included *Fann 1*, *Maqālas 1* and *2*, and *Fasls 1* and *2* of *Maqīla 3* of Book 2 of the *Physica*, translated by Dominicus Gundisalvus.

The MSS. we have used in the preparation of the present text are three:—A. *India Office, MS. 1796 (Loth 476)*. This is an excellent MS. in a good state of preservation. It forms the second volume of a copy of the *Shifā'* in three volumes, made by Muḥammad Ṣādiq ibn Ḥājjī ‘Abd al-Ḥakīm by order of Sayyid Muḥammad Ḥusainī in the years 1148-1154 A. H. This second volume is written on 313 leaves, size $11\frac{1}{4}$ in. by $6\frac{3}{4}$, thirty lines to a page; it is dated Kashmīr, Sha'bān 1150, and seems to have been transcribed from the same MS. — of

the year 868 A. H. — as the preceding volume, Loth 475. The text is good and has been made the basis of our own.

B. *British Museum Or. 2873*. This is described as follows at pp. 484, 485 of Rieu's Supplement to the Catalogue of Arabic MSS., 1894 — “ Foll. 248 ; 12 $\frac{1}{4}$ in. by 7 $\frac{1}{4}$; 25 lines, 4 in. long ; written in small and close Nestālik ; dated Shahjahān-Ābād (Delhi), Dul-ka'dah, A. H. 1072 (A. D. 1662) Fām V. فی الْعَالَمِ الْعَدِيدِ, in two Maķālahs, beginning at foll. 115a and 120a The copyist, ‘Abdallah, says in the colophon that he was not very proficient in Arabic, and he begs to be excused for errors of transcription. In the margins of foll. 18a, 40a, 58b, etc., there are colophons, apparently transcribed from the original MS., which was dated Samarkand, A. H. 802. ”

This MS. was somewhat difficult to read — at least in rotograph — and was inconclusive in many places owing to absence of diacritical points. The text itself is good.

C. *Bodleian Library, Oxford. Poc. 116*. Fol. 81a-fol. 110b. This is very clearly written, and in great part vocalized. The text, however, is very corrupt in many places, but proved useful in elucidating certain difficulties in the other MSS.

In the footnotes to the Arabic text, we have used “ A ” for the India Office, “ B ” for the British Museum, and “ C ” for the Bodleian manuscripts respectively. The figures in square brackets in the text, thus [Page 145a], refer to pages in the India Office MS.

B. Latin Text.

We have made no attempt to establish a Latin text of the *Minerālia*, since it became perfectly clear in the course of our investigation that Alfred the Englishman had not seldom misunderstood the original version, or that he worked from a defective text — perhaps both. In these circumstances it is obviously impossible to come to any final conclusions upon details, and with the Arabic text now available there seemed to be no reason to spend time and labour upon a necessarily unproductive task. We have, however, given the Latin text as

it appears in a XVth. Cent. MS. in the library of Trinity College, Cambridge (MS. 1400 or O. 8-25, ff. 8 *verso*-11 *verso*), and have collated it with (a) the text of another XVth. Cent. MS. in the same library (MS. 1122 or O. 2-18, ff. 192 *verso*-194 *verso*) and (b) a copy of the text printed in the Bologna edition (¹), 1501, compared with that of the Lyons edition (²) of 1528, kindly lent us by Professor H. E. Stapleton.

It will be seen that the MSS. agree closely, for the most part, with the printed text, but differ widely in detail from the version printed in *Artis auriferae* (³) and in Manget (⁴). The latter version, indeed, appears to derive from a different family of MSS., though when the divergence occurred we are unable to say. The text of our MSS. and of the Bologna and Lyons editions is much truer to the Arabic than that of *Artis auriferae* and Manget.

In the footnotes to the Latin text we have referred to the MSS. and Bologna and Lyons editions as follows : —

- (1). TA.-MS. Trin. Coll. 1400 or O. 8-25.
- (2). TB.-MS. Trin. Coll. 1122 or O. 2-18.
- (3). B.-Bologna Edition.
- (4). L.-Lyons Edition.

1. Aristotelis, philosoporum maximi, secretum secretorum ad Alexandrum ; de Regum regimine ; de Sanitatis conservatione ; de Physionomia. Ejusdem de Mineralibus. Alexandri Aphrodisei, clarissimi peripatetici, de Intellectu. Averrois magni commentatoris, de Anime beatitudine. Alexandri Achillini Bononiensis, de Universalibus, Alexandri Macedonis, in septentrione monarche, de Mirabilibus Indie, ad Aristotelem. (*At end :*) Et impressus Bononiae, impensis Benedicti Hectoris, anno Domini 1501 . . . 20 octobris, illustrissimo Joanne secundo Bentivolo rei publicae Bononiensis imbenas foeliciter moderante [Bologna, 1501, folio, 36 ff.]

2. Secreta secretorum Aristotelis . . . Maximi philosophi Aristotelis de signis aquarum, ventorum et tempestatum. Maximi philosophi Aristotelis de Mineralibus. Alexandri Aphrodisei de Intellectu. Averrois de Beatitudine anime. Alexandri Macedonis ad Aristotelem de Mirabilibus Indie. [Lugduni, in aedibus A. Blanchard, 1528. 16mo., black letter, 83 ff.]

3. *Artis auriferae quam Chemiam vocant antiquissimi auctores*, Basle, MDCLX, vol. i, p. 240.

4. *Bibliotheca Chemica Curiosa*, Cologne, 1702, vol. i, p. 636.

English Translation of the Arabic Text

THE BOOK OF THE REMEDY (¹).

In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate !

The Fifth Subject of the Physics, consisting of two discourses upon meteorological phenomena (²). This subject comprises the secondary

1. شفاعة remedy, the becoming free from disease, or the giving of health. In mediæval Latin the word was wrongly translated *Sufficientia*. The vocalization has apparently given trouble to lexicographers, the Calcutta edn. of the *Qūmūs* reading شفاعة (Lane, s. v.). Steinschneider (*Europ. Uebers.*, I. 32) accepts this reading (*Schafā*). Carra de Vaux, *Avicenne*, 145 *footnote*, says : « Le mot en question dans le sens de remède, guérison, est vocalisé *chafā* dans le grand dictionnaire arabe-latin de Freytag, et *chifā* dans les dictionnaires de l'université de Beyrouth, édition française de 1893 et édition anglaise de 1899. Les éditeurs romains du *Nadījāt*, de 1593, ont donné à ce livre un fort beau titre vocalisé dans lequel entre le mot qui nous occupe et où ils ont précisément omis cette voyelle scabreuse » .

2. العلويّة الّاّثار. The *Masālik al-Ulūm*, ed. van Vloten, 1895, p. 133, says : — واما العلم الطبيعى فمن اقسامه علم الطب وعلم الّاّثار العلويّة اعني الامطار والرياح والبرود والبروق ونحوها وعلم المعادن والنباتات والحيوان وطبعه شيء شيء مما تحت ذلك القمر * وصناعة الكيمياء تدخل تحت اقسامه لانها باحثة عن العَدَيّيات

The scope of Physics is described by Ibn Khaldūn (*Prolegomena*, De Slane's translation, III, 161) : « La Physique est une science qui a pour objet le corps en tant qu'il éprouve du mouvement et du repos. Elle examine les corps célestes, les corps élémentaires et leurs produits, tels que l'homme, l'animal (irrationnel) le végétal, le minéral, ce qui se produit dans le sol en fait de sources et de tremblements de terre, ce qui a lieu dans le ciel en fait de nuages, de vapeurs, de tonnerre, d'éclairs et d'ouragans, etc. Elle s'emploie aussi pour faire reconnaître l'agent qui donne le mouvement aux corps, agent identique avec l'âme dans ses diverses espèces, savoir : l'âme humaine, l'âme animale et l'âme végétale. »

« Les livres composés sur cette matière par Aristote se trouvent entre les mains du public, ayant été traduits (en arabe) sous le règne d'El-Mamoun et à la même époque que les autres traités sur les sciences philosophiques (des Grecs). (Les musulmans) composèrent ensuite des livres sur le même plan, à l'aide d'éclaircissements et d'explications (qu'ils avaient recueillis), et celui d'entre eux qui traita

causes (¹) of the inanimate creation such as minerals, meteorological phenomena and the like.

The First Discourse, upon those things which occur upon the earth.

SECTION 1. Upon Mountains.

We shall begin by establishing the condition of the formation of mountains and the opinions that must be known upon this subject. The first [topic] is the condition of the formation of stone (²), the second is the condition of the formation of stones great in bulk or in number, and the third is the condition of the formation of cliffs and heights.

We say that, for the most part (³), pure earth does not petrify, because the predominance of dryness over [i. e. in] the earth endows it not with coherence but rather with crumbliness. In general, stone is formed in two ways only (*a*) through the hardening (⁴) of clay, and (*b*) by the congelation [of waters] (⁵). Many stones in fact, are formed

ce sujet de la manière la plus complète fut Ibn Sînâ (Avicenne). Nous avons dit qu'il avait réuni, dans son *Kitâb es-Chefa*, les sept sciences philosophiques. »

Ibn Sînâ's *عَلَى عَلَوَّةِ آثَارٍ* corresponds to « ce qui a lieu dans le ciel en fait de images, de vapeurs, de tonnerre, d'éclairs et d'ouragans, etc. »

1. *على* plural of *عَلَى* signifies *secondary causes* as opposed to *primary causes*. Cf. Ibn Khaldûn, *ed. cit.*, II, 428 : « Pour faire qu'une chose ait lieu, il faut en connaître les causes premières et secondaires, ainsi que les conditions nécessaires à sa production ; en un mot, il faut connaître les principes d'où elle dérive ; car les choses se présentent toujours dans un ordre et une liaison réguliers ». *فَإِنْذَا قَصَدَ اِبْجَادَ شَيْءٍ مِنِ الْاِشْيَا فَلَاجِلُ التَّرْتِيبِ بَيْنَ الْحَوَادِثِ لَا يَدْعُ مِنَ التَّقْضِينَ بِسَبِيلٍ اَوْ عَلَيْهِ اَوْ شَرْطَه وَهِيَ عَلَى الْجَمِيعِ مُبَادِئٌ اَذْ لَا يُوجَدُ اَلَا ثَانِيَا عَنْهَا وَلَا يُكَنْ اِبْقَاعُ الْمُتَقْدِمِ مُتَاخِدًا وَلَا الْمُتَأَخِرُ مُتَقَدِّمًا **

2. *حجارة hijâra*, collective plural. It seems as though the next ought to be *احجار ahjâr*, the ordinary plural, but all three MSS. we have used read *حجارة*.

Stapleton, however, working from the Teheran edition and a MS. of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, gives the suggested reading.

3. The Latin version begins here.

4. *تحمير tâmîr*. This word, rendered in the Latin version by *conglutinatio*, is unknown to the dictionaries. It appears to mean the conversion of clay into the hard form which it assumes when baked.

5. Latin *congelatio*. The reference is to the deposition of solids from water, interpreted by Ibn Sînâ (and others) as a solidification of the water itself.

from a substance (') in which earthiness predominates, and many of them are derived from a substance in which aquosity predominates. Often a clay dries and is changed at first into something intermediate between stone and clay, *viz.* a soft stone, and afterwards is changed into stone [proper]. The clay which most readily lends itself to this is that which is agglutinative, for if it is not agglutinative it usually crumbles before it petrifies. In my childhood I saw, on the bank of the Oxus (²), deposits of the clay which people use for washing their heads (³); subsequently I observed that it had become converted into a soft stone, and that was in the space of approximately 23 years (⁴).

Stone has also been formed from flowing water in two ways (*a*) by the congelation of the water as it falls drop by drop or as a whole during its flow (⁵), and (*b*) by the deposition from it, in its course,

1. جوهر *jauhar*, essence, essential substance, substance as opposed to accident.

2. *Jaihûn*. Yaqut (Barbier de Meynard. *Dictionnaire géographique, historique et littéraire de la Perse et des contrées adjacentes, extrait du Mo'djem el-Bouldan de Yugout*, Paris, 1861, pp. 183 ff.) gives a long description of the Oxus. — « Ce nom (Jaihûn) est étranger, et c'est sans raison que quelques auteurs le font dériver du mot جع، qui signifie déraciner, arracher, parce que ce fleuve dévore ses deux rives. Cette opinion ne mérite pas d'être discutée. Selon Hamzah, le nom primitif de Djéihoun est *Heroun* (هرون), vallée du Khoraçân, au milieu de laquelle est la ville de Djéihân (جيحان), dont les Persans, dans leur prononciation emphatique, ont fait *Djeihoun*. — D'après Ibn el-Faqih, il sort d'un endroit nommé *Rivsarân* (رساران), montagne qui touche aux pays du Sind, de l'Inde et de Kaboul; cette montagne donne naissance à une source près d'un lieu nommé *'Indemis* (عندمیس). — Le Djéihoun, dit el-Isthakhri, est d'abord une rivière nommée *Djourbâb* (جرباب), qui sort du pays de *Wekkhâb* (وکhab) sur les frontières du *Bedakhschan*. Il se joint à d'autres rivières dans le pays de *Khottef* (ختل) et de *Wakhsh* (وکش), et de la réunion de tous ces affluents provient le grand fleuve Djéihoun », etc.

3. (*Stapleton.*) « This clay, which is known in Baghdad as *Tin Khâwâh*, presumably contains sodium carbonate, but we have been unable to obtain a sample in Calcutta. In India the naturally occurring *Sajji Matti* (crude sodium carbonate) is used for the same purpose ». It is green in colour and of a creamy consistency.

4. The Latin version says 33 years, but this is clearly an error.

5. برمجه *lit.* with its rope, *i. e.* altogether, as a whole (Lane, *s. v.*). The Latin translator omitted the latter part of this sentence, probably because he did not understand it.

of something which adheres to the surface of its bed and [then] petrifies. Running waters have been observed, part of which, dripping upon a certain spot, solidifies into stone or pebbles of various colours, and dripping water has been seen which, though not congealing normally, yet immediately petrifies when it falls upon stony ground near its channel. We know therefore that in that ground there must be a congealing petrifying virtue which converts the liquid to the solid. Thus the bases of the formation of stone are [either] a soft clayey substance or a substance in which aquosity predominates. Congelation of the latter variety must be caused by a mineralizing, solidifying virtue, or earthiness must have become predominant in it in the same way in which salt is coagulated, *i. e.* earthiness becomes predominant in it by reason of its [peculiar] virtue and not of its amount. If indeed the earthy quality is not like that in salt, but is of a different kind, nevertheless the two must be similar in that they are transformed by heat, and in that the advent of heat coagulates them. Or it may be that the virtue is yet another, unknown to us. Alternatively, the converse may be true — that its earthiness has prevailed merely by a cold dry virtue.

In short, it is in the nature of water, as you know (¹), to become transformed into earth through a predominating earthy virtue; you know, too, that it is in the nature of earth to become transformed into water through a predominating aqueous virtue. In this connection, there is a substance used by those folk who have lost their way amid their artful contrivances (²) which, when they are so minded, they

1. Or, *as I have shown*, which is perhaps more probable, though MS. C definitely vocalises in the second person masculine singular.

2. A thrust at the alchemists. Stapleton says: — « The full rendering of the Arabic of these last two sentences is as follows: ‘There is a thing that certain people, who have gone astray, prepare in their experiments, called *Virgin’s Milk*, which is composed of two waters. This coagulates into a dry substance, which clearly proves the truth of what we have stated above (*i. e.* that water can be turned into stone). They have likewise many other things that they prepare during the processes of liquefaction and coagulation that also prove these laws’. The version of the Latin translator betrays the fact that he himself is numbered

call *Virgin's Milk* (!); it is compounded of two waters which coagulate into a hard solid. This is a indication of the truth of [what I have

amongst those who 'have gone astray'. Ibn Sinā in using the word لَعْلَة evidently refers to the 'too-ingenuous' experimenter ».

1. *Lac virginis* is a name applied to many substances in alchemical literature. The following passage occurs in a book called *Diodori Euchyontis de Polychymia libri quatuor* (Basle, 1567, p. 47); — *Aqua lac virginum dicta*. Rec. Lithargyri argenti in mortario subtiliter pulverizati, quantum volueris, maceretur per biduum vel triduum, in acelo fortissimo vini albi, ut quatuor digitis supra pulvereum emineat, et singulis diebus quater agitetur. Tertio deinde vel quarto die effundatur paulatim acetum, ne agitetur aut confundatur cum aceto pulvis in fundo residens, in vasculum, quod seorsim optime obturatum, in loco frigido conservetur. Haec aqua subito splendorem et nitorem rebus inducit. Deinde paretur lixivium acre, ex cineribus optimis albis, nec dum extinctis, de ligno seu carbonibus quernis. Potest vero cum aqua pluvia seu lluviatili vel decoctione ad tertiae partis consumptionem lixivium fieri, vel destillatione per filtrum. Quibus sic paratis si ad usum revocare libeat, effundatur in volam manus aquae praescriptae ex lithargyro nimirum destillatae, guttula una, cui aliquid lixivii primi admisceatur, et de oleo crepino pars una, sic in lac subito, paulo vero post in caseum convertuntur. Ut primum autem acelum a lithargyri pulvere, ut supra dictum est, fuerit separatum, aqua frigida persuadatur, et hacculo sal qui fundo adhaeret agitetur, sieque postea exicetur et servetur. Est enim ad allmm bonae operationis. Calcis quoque huius usus quandoque est, ubi testae ovorum ad manus non sunt, necque enim calx semper inveniri potest, nisi de nova fiat. Similiter pro lithargyro sumi potest, alumen iamenum et rochae, sal gemmens, vel sal alkali, etc. Haec enim omnia contrita et pulverizata, in aqua clara, quae tribus aut quatuor digitis emineat, ad tertiae partis consumptionem decoquuntur. Huic decoctae aquae, si prior de lithargyro, commisceatur, subito fit conversio in lac, et paulo post in caseum coagulatio, atque hoc ipso quoque ad decorem et venustatem mulieris utuntur.

According to William Johnson, *Lexicon Chymicum*, printed in Manget's *Bibl. Chem. Curiosa*, vol. I, page 247, *Lac virginis* est aqua Mercurialis. Etiam *Lac virginis*, est Mercurius Philosophorum, succus Solariae et Lunariae.

Berthelot (*Moyen Age*, ii, page 29) says : Le lait virginal est une dénomination symbolique, souvent usitée chez les alchimistes. Ce serait en particulier le nom que les chimistes donnent au mercure, suivant le lexique de Bar Babloul. On doit d'ailleurs entendre par là un chlorure de mercure, plutôt que le mercure métallique.

It seems clear that Ibn Sinā's reference is merely to two liquids which when mixed give a white precipitate, such as the solutions of lead acetate and potassium carbonate mentioned in the extract from the *Polychymia* above. That a white

said above] (¹). They have also many things which they use in liquefaction and coagulation which bear witness to the soundness of these judgments.

Stones are formed, then, either by the hardening of agglutinative clay in the sun, or by the coagulation of aquosity by a desiccative earthy quality, or by reason of a desiccation through heat. If what is said concerning the petrifaction of animals and plants is true, the cause of this [phenomenon] is a powerful mineralizing and petrifying virtue which arises in certain stony spots, or emanates suddenly from the earth during earthquakes and subsidences (²), and petrifies whatever comes into contact with it. As a matter of fact, the petrifaction of the bodies of animals and plants is not more extraordinary than the transformation of waters.

It is not impossible for compounds to be converted into a single element if the virtue of the latter gets the mastery over them, for each of the elements they contain may be converted into that element. For this reason anything which falls into salt-pans is converted into salt, while objects which fall into the fire are converted into fire. As for the swiftness or slowness of the conversion, that is a matter which necessarily varies according to the variation in the strength of the virtues ; if they are very violent they perform the conversion in a short time. In Arabia there is a tract of volcanic earth (³) which turns

solid is thrown down from a mixture of these solutions was known to Jâbir ibn Hayyân, who mentions the fact in his *Kitâb al-Khanâṣṣ al-Kâbir* (British Museum MS.).

1. *Lit.* of this.

2. حَسْفٌ means *it sank down into the earth*. Cf. Qur'ân, xxviii, 82, بِنَحْسِفٍ = *we had been swallowed up by the earth*.

3. حَرَّا. Lane describes a *harra* as a stony tract, of which the stones are black and worn and crumbling, as though burned with fire. Stapleton has the following note : « *Harrah* is an outcrop of volcanic rock often found in Arabia. Burton (*Pilgrimage to Al-Madinah and Meccah*, Memorial Ed. Vol. I, p. 421) says : ‘*Harrah*, from Harr (heat) is the generic name of lava, porous basalt, scoriae, greenstone, schiste, and other [stones] supposed to be of igneous origin. It is also

to its own colour everyone who lives there and every object which falls upon it. I myself have seen a loaf of bread in the shape of a *raghîf* (¹) — baked; thin in the middle, and showing the marks of a bite — which had petrified but still retained its original colour, and on one of its sides was the impression of the lines in the oven. I found it thrown away on a mountain near Jâjarm (²), a town of Khurâsân, and I carried it about with me for a time. These things appear strange only on account of their infrequent occurrence ; their natural causes, however, are manifest and well-known.

Certain varieties of stone are formed during the extinction of fire, and frequently ferreous and stony bodies originate during thunderstorms, by reason of the accidental qualities of coldness and dryness which fieriness acquires when it is extinguished. In the country of the Turks (³) there fell, amid thunder and lightning, coppery bodies in the shape of arrowheads with a projection turned back towards the top. A similar one fell in Jil and Dailam (⁴), and when it fell it penetrated into the earth. The substance of all these was coppery and dry. I myself undertook, in Khwarazm (⁵), the difficult task of fusing a head of that kind, but it would not melt ; a greenish fume

used to denote a ridge or hill of such formation.' Several famous ones exist close to Madinah. »

Doughty, *Arabia Deserta*, 1923, I, 419, says : — « In the train of Harras we see a spectacle of the old volcanic violence that tormented this border of the Arabian peninsula. I have followed these Harras almost to Mecca ; that is through nearly seven degrees of latitude ». Doughty has numerous other references to *harras*, for which see the index to his book.

1. Lane : — A *raghîf* is « a round cake of bread, such as is thick, or not thin, generally about a span, or less, in width, and from half an inch to an inch in thickness ». Dozy, *Supplément, sub voce* : — « Dans le Minho, notamment à Oporto et à Braga, on donne le nom de *regueifa* à des pains blancs en forme d'anneau. »

2. Latin *Lurgeam* ! Jâjarm lies approximately 56° E. by 37° N. (in modern Persia).

3. Latin version : *in Persia*.

4. Two Persian provinces on the south-west shores of the Caspian Sea.

5. Modern Khiva.

continued to come off from it until at length an ashy substance remained (¹).

I regard as true, on unexceptionable evidence, an event which happened in Jâzjânâ (²) in our own time : a ferreous body, which perhaps weighed 150 *mana* (³), fell from the sky, penetrated the ground, and then rebounded once or twice like a ball which has been thrown against a wall ; afterwards it penetrated into the ground again. People heard a tremendous, terrifying, noise and when they investigated the matter they took possession of the object and carried it to the Governor of Jâzjânâ. He wrote about it to the Sultan of Khurâsân, contemporary with us, the Amir Yamin al-Daula wa 'Amîn al-Milla Abu'l-Qâsim Ma'îmûd ibn Sabaktagîn al-Muzaffar al-Mughâlib (⁴), who ordered him to send him the object or a part of it. Its

1. Mention of the greenish fume confirms Ibn Sînâ's identification of the substance as « coppery », though perhaps it may have been nickel as is the case with many meteorites.

2. Jâzjânâ is in Herat (Khurâsân). It is famous as the spot from which Nasîr-i-Khusraw, author of the *Safar-nâma*, set out upon his travels on December 19, 1045 A. D. Ibn Sînâ's friend and companion Abû 'Ubaidallah 'Abdu'l-Wâhid ibn Muhammad came from Jâzjânâ.

3. A *mana* is about two pounds. Among the Chaldaeans and Egyptians the *mana* was the sixtieth part of the talent, which itself weighed 93.65 lbs. It is an extremely ancient weight, for the Ashmolean Museum has a specimen dating from the reign of Entemena, great-grandson of Ur-Nina ; it is pear-shaped, with a deep groove on each side running from the point to the base, and carries the following inscription : — *One mana of wages in wool. Dudu the High-Priest.* It weighs 680.485 grams (about $1\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.).

One would not have thought that a weight of rather less than 3 cwt. was very difficult to transport (p. 25). The Latin version has 100 marks, i. e., approximately 100 lbs.

4. S. Lane-Poole, *Mohammadan Dynasties*, 1925, pp. 286 and 287 : — « Yamin al-Daula Ma'îmûd ibn Sahaktagîn came to the throne in A. D. 998 (A. H. 388) ». « Ma'îmûd of Ghazna, the son of Sabaktagîn, is one of the greatest figures in Mohammadan history. After overcoming his younger brother Ismâ'il, who had forced a contest, he repudiated the supremacy of the feeble representative of the Sâmânids, and received an investiture for the governments of Khurâsân and Ghazna direct from the Caliph of Baghdâd, 'the dispenser of powers which he himself no longer enjoyed' Besides his Indian wars, Ma'îmûd beat off the

removal, however, proved too difficult on account of its weight, so they attempted to break off a piece of it, but the tools could work it only with difficulty, every drill and chisel used upon it breaking. At length, however, they cut off a fragment of it and sent it to the Sultan, who ordered a sword to be struck from it, but this proved too difficult. It is said that the substance was entirely composed of small rounded granular particles adhering to one another (¹). All this was seen by my friend the lawyer Abû 'Ubaidallah 'Abdu'l-Wâhid ibn Muhammâd al-Jûzjânî (²). I am told that many of the beautiful swords of the Yaman are made from this kind of iron only (³) and that the poets of the Arabs have described the fact in their poems (⁴).

attack of the Flâk Khân, reduced Ghôr (1010) and the country of the Upper Marghâb (1012), and even annexed Transoxania with its two great cities of Samarkand and Bukhârâ in 1016 ».

1. Cf. *Encycl. Britannica*, XVIII, p. 264 (Eleventh Edition) : — About eleven out of every twelve of the known meteoric stones belong to a division to which Rose gave the name *chondritic* ($\chi\circ\nu\delta\rho\circ\varsigma$, a grain) ; they present a very fine-grained but crystalline matrix or paste, consisting of olivine and enstatite or bronzite, with more or less nickel-iron, troilite, chromite, augite and triclinic feldspar ; through this paste are disseminated round chondrules of various sizes and generally with the same composition as the matrix ; in some cases the chondrules consist wholly or in part of glass. Some meteorites consist almost solely of chondrules ; others contain only few ; in some cases the chondrules are easily separable from the surrounding material.

2. Ibn Sinâ's faithful companion for many years.

3. Sir Robert A. Hadsfield, F. R. S., the eminent authority on iron and steel, whom we consulted upon this point, writes : — As regards the sword made from meteoric iron, as the latter is usually a compound of Iron and Nickel, with Cobalt and some other elements, yet at the same time hardly any Carbon, it is quite to be understood that this material, although forgeable, would only give a product which on quenching would be tough but quite soft, and not carry a cutting edge.

4. Having been unable to confirm this statement, we asked the help of Professor R. A. Nicholson, who writes : — « I cannot find any authority for the statement of Ibn Sinâ that the Arab poets refer to meteoric iron as the material from which the best swords were made. Possibly Ibn Sinâ may have misunderstood such passages as that in which Muslim b. al-Wahid describes a flashing sword as « a deadly meteor » (*شَهَابٌ الْمَوْتِ*). The whole subject of the sword in Arabic poetry has been treated very fully by Schwarzböck in his *Waffen der alten Araber*, but there is nothing in his citations to support Ibn Sinâ ».

This, then, is one kind of way in which stone is formed. A trustworthy man from among the Shaikhs of the kingdom of Isfahân, Abû Mañṣûr Hormuz Diyâr ibn Mashakzâr, one in close relation with the illustrious Amîr Abû Ja'far Muḥammad ibn Dushmanzâr (may God have mercy upon him !) (¹), told me that there fell from the sky, in the mountains of Tabaristâu, an object the fall of which resembled the fall of the above-mentioned mass of iron, except that in this case it was a huge stone. This completes the discourse upon the formation of stones (²).

As for the formation of large stones, this may occur all at once (³), by intense heat acting suddenly upon a large mass of clay, or little by little with the passage of time.

The formation of heights is brought about by (a) an essential cause (⁴)

1. S. Lane-Poole, *Mohammedan Dynasties*, 1925, p. 145 : — « Mohammad b. Dushmanzâr, known as Ibn-Kâkwayh, was first cousin to Majd-al-dawla the Buwayhid, of Hamadhân, whose dominions he annexed by the deposition of Samâ al-dawla in 1023 A. D. He had previously taken Ispahân in 1007 A. D. The family continued to rule in Ispahân, Hamadhân, Yuzd, Nahâwand, etc., until their conquest by the Seljûk Tughril Beg in 1051 A. D. »

2. *Caput I* does not end with this sentence, as it should, but with the following one. With regard to Ibn Sinâ's description of meteorites the appended passages from the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, Vol. XVIII, are of interest : — (p. 262) « The fall of stones from the sky, though not credited by scientific men till the end of the 18th century, had been again and again placed on record.... The oldest existing meteorite of which the fall is known to have been observed is that which fell at Ensisheim in Elsass on the 10th of November 1492. It was seen to strike the ground and was immediately dug out; it had penetrated to a depth of 5 ft. and was found to weigh 260 pounds.... Meteoritic falls are independent of thunderstorms and all other terrestrial circumstances.... The largest single mass seen to fall is one of those which came down at Kniyahinya, Hungary, in 1866, and weighed 547 lb; but far larger masses, inferred from their characters to be meteorites, have been met with.... In the case of the Hessle fall, several stones fell on the ice, which was only a few inches thick, and rebounded without breaking the ice or being broken themselves.... »

In the same vol., p. 261, it is stated that an abundant meteoric display took place on October 15, 1002 A. D., a date which accords well with the observations of Ibn Sinâ.

3. دفعه. 4. سبب بآذات.

and (b) an accidental cause (¹). The essential cause [is concerned] when, as in many violent earthquakes, the wind which produces the earthquake raises a part of the ground and a height is suddenly formed (²). In the case of the accidental cause, certain parts of the ground become hollowed out while others do not, by the erosive action of winds and floods which carry away one part of the earth but not another. That part which suffers the action of the current becomes hollowed out, while that upon which the current does not flow is left as a height. The current continues to penetrate the first-formed hollow until at length it forms a deep valley, while the area from which it has turned aside is left as an eminence. This may be taken as what is definitely known about mountains and the hollows and passes between them (³).

Very often both water and wind would be ineffectual except for the fact that the earth is not uniform, some parts of it being soft and

1. سبب بالعرض.

2. Cf. the opinion of Aristotle, in *Meteorologica*, that earthquakes are due to the formation of winds within the earth.

With the views expressed here and later in this section, it is interesting to compare those which Ovid ascribes to Pythagoras (*Metamorphoses*, XV, trans. by F. J. Miller, 1916, pp. 383 etc.) : — « I myself have seen what was once solid land changed into sea ; and again I have seen land made from the sea. Sea-shells have been seen lying far from the ocean, and an ancient anchor has been found on a mountain-top. What once was a level plain, down-flowing waters have made into a valley ; and hills by the force of floods have been washed into the sea. What was once marsh is now a parched stretch of dry sand, and what was once dry and thirsty now is a marshy pool.... Near Troezen, ruled by Pittheus, there is a hill, high and treeless, which once was a perfectly level plain, but now a hill ; for, horrible to relate, the wild forces of the winds, shut up in dark regions underground, seeking an outlet for their flowing and striving vainly to obtain a freer space, since there was no chink in all their prison through which their breath could go, puffed out and stretched the ground, just as when one inflates a bladder with his breath, or the skin of a horned goat. That swelling in the ground remained, has still the appearance of a high hill, and has hardened as the years went by ».

3. That is, so far Ibn Sinā has merely been stating what was generally accepted ; his own views upon the details and methods of the processes follow.

others stony. The soft, earthy parts become hollowed out and the stony parts are left behind as elevations. With the passage of time, the channel is excavated and widened more and more, while the raised portion is left, becoming relatively higher and higher as more earth is hollowed out from [beside] it. These, then, are the principal causes of the three changes [mentioned at the beginning of the *fasl*, *viz.* the formation of stone, the formation of stones great in bulk or in number, and the formation of cliffs and heights] (¹).

Mountains have been formed by one [or other] of the causes of the formation of stone, most probably from agglutinative clay which slowly dried and petrified during ages of which we have no record. It seems likely that this habitable world was in former days uninhabitable and, indeed, submerged beneath the ocean (²). Then, becoming exposed little by little, it petrified in the course of ages the limits of which history has not preserved ; or it may have petrified beneath the waters by reason of the intense heat confined under the sea. The more probable [of these two possibilities] is that petrifaction occurred after the earth had been exposed, and that the condition of the clay, which would then be agglutinative, assisted the petrifaction (³).

It is for this reason [*i. e.* that the earth was once covered by the sea] that in many stones, when they are broken, are found parts of aquatic animals, such as shells, etc. (⁴)

It is not impossible that the mineralizing virtue (⁵) was generated there [*i. e.* in the petrifying clay] and aided the process, while the

1. Cf. page 18.

2. A suggestion which goes back at least as far as Xenophanes of Colophon (614 B. C.) and was accepted by Herodotus, Strabo, Aristotle and others.

3. Cf. page 19.

4. Ibn Sinā was not alone in this anticipation of the conclusions of Nicolas Steno, for Xenophanes and the other philosophers mentioned in footnote 2 based their views upon the occurrence of shells in regions far from the sea. After the death of Ibn Sinā, however, orthodox belief repudiated the natural deduction from the observed facts, although Leonardo da Vinci was courageous enough to reaffirm it.

5. Cf. page 22.

waters also may have petrified. Most probably, mountains were formed by all these causes.

The abundance of stone in them is due to the abundance, in the sea, of clay which was afterwards exposed. Their elevation is due to the excavating action of floods and winds on the matter which lies between them, for if you examine the majority of mountains you will see that the hollows between them have been caused by floods. This action, however, took place and was completed only in the course of many ages, so that the trace of each individual flood has not been left ; only that of the most recent of them can be seen.

At the present time, most mountains are in the stage of decay and disintegration, for they grew and were formed only during their gradual exposure by the waters. Now, however, they are in the grip of disintegration, except those of them which God wills should increase through the petrifaction of waters upon them, or through floods which bring them a large quantity of clay that petrifies on them. I have, I believe, heard that this has been observed on certain mountains. As for [the similar phenomenon] which I witnessed upon the banks of the Oxus, that place cannot properly be called a mountain (').

Of the land which was exposed by the retreat of the waters, those parts which were of harder clay or more strongly petrified or of greater bulk than the rest remained as elevations and heights when the other parts had been carried away.

As for the veins of clay that are found in mountains, it is possible that these were formed not from the main substance which has undergone petrifaction, but from débris of the mountains that turned into dust and filled the valleys and ravines. It then became moistened by streams which flowed upon it, and was covered by the layers of stone forming the mountains, or interlaid with the good clay of the latter (?). It is possible also that the ancient clay of the sea was not

1. Cf. page 19.

2. Stapleton renders this passage as follows : — *This deposit was then moistened by flowing streams and either covered with refuse from the mountains,*

uniform in substance, and that in succession ('¹) some of it petrified thoroughly, while some did not petrify at all, and some was converted only into a soft stone through a certain quality predominant in it or by reason of some one of innumerable other causes.

It is also possible that the sea may have happened to flow little by little over land consisting of both plain and mountain and then have ebbed away from it; and so it came to pass that the plain was turned into clay without the same befalling the mountain. Once converted into clay, it was in a fit state to undergo petrifaction when it became exposed, and its petrifaction would be complete and strong. When exposure of the matter which was petrifying took place, it must frequently have happened that the old petrified portions [*i. e.* the mountains] were in a state fit for disintegration, and so would suffer the converse of what was happening to the earth. That is, they became moist and soft and turned into dust again, which itself is in a fit state for petrifaction. For example, when you soak a brick, some earth and some clay in water, and then expose each of them to the fire, the soaking will increase the tendency of the brick to be disintegrated again by the fire, and will also increase the tendency of the earth and the clay to petrify strongly.

or mixed with good clay from the same source. It would seem that his text was defective; no doubt it read **خَلْطَةٌ** for **إِرْهَاصٍ** and **رَهْصٌ** for **خَلْطَةٌ**. **إِرْهَاصٌ** is an uncommon word, and European dictionaries we have consulted do not give much help, the only one to mention it at all being that of Salmoné. There is, however, a lengthy article upon the word and its derivatives on pp. 310 and 311 of vol. 8 of the *Lisānn'l-Arab*, 1st. edn., Boulak, 1301 A. H., from which the following extracts are taken. The rendering of **إِرْهَاصٌ** given in the translation probably expresses its sense in the present connection. We have, in fact, taken **إِرْهَاصٌ** as equivalent to the plural of **رَهْصٌ**.

١. الرَّهْصُ الصَّخْرُ المُتَرَاسِفَةُ الثَّابِتَةُ — ٢. الرَّهْصُ الطَّينُ الَّذِي يَجْعَلُ بَعْضَهُ عَلَى بَعْضٍ فَيُبَيِّنُ بَعْضَهُ — ٣. الْإِرْهَاصُ الْأَثَابَاتُ — ٤. الرَّهْصُ تَأْسِيسُ الْبَنِيَانِ *

The meaning of **خَلْطَةٌ** is clear from the context, but similar uses are not uncommon. Cf. Lane, s. v.

1. من ترتيبه .

It is possible that each time the land was exposed by the ebbing of the sea a layer was left, since we see that some mountains appear to have been piled up layer by layer, and it is therefore likely that the clay from which they were formed was itself at one time arranged in layers. One layer was formed first, then, at a different period, a further layer was formed and piled [upon the first, and so on]. Over each layer there spread a substance of different material, which formed a partition between it and the next layer ; but when petrifaction took place something occurred to the partition which caused it to break up and disintegrate from between the layers (¹).

As to the bottom of the sea, its clay is either sedimentary or primaeval, the latter not being sedimentary. It is probable that the sedimentary clay was formed by the disintegration of the strata of mountains (²).

1. The text of the first sentence of this passage is unsatisfactory in all three MSS. we have used. We have therefore adopted Stapleton's rendering, as he seems to have had a text more correct than ours in this passage. He has, however, not translated the passage from *piled up layer by layer* to *between the layers*, remarking that the Arabic original of the latter portion of *Faṣl I* is full of mistakes, and that if the mediaeval translator had a similar text before him, it is easy to understand the difficulty he evidently experienced in rendering the Arabic into Latin.

Except for the sentence above-mentioned, the passage in question does not present any peculiar difficulty if our text is correct, though it is true that what Ibn Sīnā means to convey about the partitions between the layers is not as clear as could be desired. It is evident that he wished to explain (a) why the various layers of clay did not mix while they were still in a viscous state, which he does by postulating the formation of partitions ; and (b) why the partitions are not to be discovered in actual practice.

2. Cf. *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, XI, p. 656 : — « The sedimentary or stratified rocks form by much the larger part of the dry land of the globe, and they are prolonged to an unknown distance from the shores under the bed of the sea. They include those masses of mineral matter which, unlike the igneous rocks, can be traced back to a definite origin on the surface of the earth. Three distinct types may be recognized among them : (a) By far the largest proportion of them consists of different kinds of sediment derived from the disintegration of pre-existing rocks. In this « fragmental » group are placed all the varieties of shingle, gravel, sand, clay and mud, whether these materials remain in a loose incoherent

Such is the formation of mountains (').

condition, or have been compacted into solid stone. (b) Another group consists of materials that have been deposited by chemical precipitation from solution in water. The white sinter laid down by calcareous springs is a familiar example on a small scale. Beds of rock-salt, gypsum and dolomite have, in some regions, been accumulated to a thickness of many thousand feet, by successive precipitations of the salt contained in the water of inland seas. (c) An abundant and highly important series of sedimentary formations has been formed from the remains of plants and animals As the sedimentary rocks have for the most part been laid down under water, and more especially on the sea floor, they are often spoken of as « aqueous », in contradistinction to the igneous rocks It is from the sedimentary rocks that the main portion of geological history is derived. They have been deposited one over another in successive strata from a remote period in the development of the globe down to the present time. From this arrangement they have been termed « stratified », in contrast to the unstratified or igneous series. They have preserved memorials of the geographical revolutions which the surface of the earth has undergone ; and above all, in the abundant fossils which they have enclosed, they furnish a momentous record of the various tribes of plants and animals which have successively flourished on land and sea. »

1. *Caput II* of the Latin version ends here.

SECTION ON THE FORMATION OF MINERALS.

The time has now arrived for us to give an account of the properties (¹) of mineral substances. We say, therefore, that mineral bodies may be roughly divided into four groups, *viz.* stones, fusible substances, sulphurs and salts (²). This is for the following reason : some

احوال.

2. Cf. the classification given by Al-Rāzī in his *Kitāb al-Asrār* (see Fleischer, *Catalogus Librorum Manuscriptorum qui in Bibliotheca Senatoria Civitatis Lipsiensis asservantur, edidit Naumann, 1838, Codices Orientalium Linguarum*, p. 509, K. 215, no. CCLXVI). —

Elementa terrestria sex generum sunt:

املاح ; بوارق ; زجاجات ; احجار ; اجساد ; ابراج.

1. *Spiritus quatuor :*

الكبريت ; الزرنيخ ; النشادر ; الزبيق.

2. *Corpora septem :*

ال الحديد الصيني ; القلعى ; الرصاص ; الحديد ; النحاس ; الفضة ; الذهب.

3. *Lapides tredecim :*

الكحل ; القب ; السادس ; الفيروز ; الدهنج ; الازرد ; التوتيا ; الدوص ; المغنىسيا ; المرقشيا ; الزجاج ; الجبسين ; الطلق.

4. *Vitriola quinque :*

القلقنة ; السورين ; القلقطار ; القلقديس ; الزاج الاسود.

5. *Nitra sex :*

بورق العرب ; البورق الزواونى ; التنكار ; بورق الصاءة ; النظرورن ; بورق الخبر.

6. *Sales undecim :*

الملح البيضى ; الملح الهندى ; الملح النفطي ; الملح الاندرانى ; الملح المز ; الملح الطيب . الطبرزد ; ملح التردة ; ملح الرماد ; ملح البول ; ملح القلى .

التنكار ; القلقطار ; القلقد يس : Horum elementorum sex arte quaeruntur :

ملح البول ; ملح الرماد ; ملح القلى .

cetera natura praehet.

Cf. also the classification of substances given in the *Mafātili al-Ulūm* (ed. van Vloten, 1895, pp. 258-263), which is apparently based upon that of Al-Rāzī. Other schemes of classification are given in the *Letters of the Brethren of Purity* (ed. Dieterici, Leipzig, 1886), etc.

of the mineral bodies are weak in substance (¹) and feeble in composition (²) and union (³), while others are strong in substance. Of the latter, some are malleable and some are not malleable. Of [the former, *i. e.*] those which are feeble in substance, some have the nature of salt and are easily dissolved by moisture, such as alum, vitriol, sal-ammoniac and *qalqand* (⁴), while others are oily in nature and are not easily dissolved by moisture alone, such as sulphur and arsenic [sulphides] (⁵).

Mercury is included in the second group, inasmuch as it is the essential constituent element of malleable bodies or at least is similar to it (⁶).

All malleable bodies are fusible, though sometimes only indirectly (⁷), whereas most non-malleable substances cannot be fused in the orthodox way (⁸) or even softened except with difficulty.

The material (⁹) of malleable bodies is an aqueous substance united so firmly with an earthy substance that the two cannot be separated from one another. This aqueous substance has been congealed by cold after heat has acted upon it and matured it (¹⁰). Included in the group [of malleable bodies], however, are some which are still quick (¹¹)

1. جوهر.

2. ترکیب.

3. مزاج.

4. *qalqand*, قلقند, $\chi\alpha\lambda\chi\alpha\nu\theta\sigma$, green vitriol, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Vide infra*, p. 36 etc.

5. ذینج, *zarnikh*, realgar, orpiment, As_2S_2 and As_2S_3 .

6. This is not merely an expression of Ibn Sina's natural caution but a reference to general alchemical opinion, *viz.* *Our mercury is not the mercury of the vulgar*. The word rendered by *essential constituent element* is *يصل*.

7. ولو بالحيلة.

8. بعلادة الرسمية.

9. مادة.

10. اضاجه, *lit.* roasting, cooking. Cf. page 4.

11. In the sense of *alive*, or as in *quicksilver*. This passage proved very troublesome to the Latin translator, or at least to the copyists, the general rendering being: *Et erit exemplum a vino quod nondum gelavit propter suam uinculositatem: et ideo non est ductile*. The Trinity MS. 1122, however, seems to read

and have not congealed on account of their oily nature ; for this reason, too, they are malleable (').

As regards the stony kinds of naturally-occurring mineral substances, the material of which they are made is also aqueous, but they have not been congealed by cold alone. Their congelation has, on the contrary, been brought about by dryness which has converted the aquosity into terrestreity. They do not contain a quick, oily humidity

a vivo for *a vino*, so that it is possible that Alfred the Englishman was not far out.

1. That *est ductile*, and not *non est ductile*, is correct is shown not merely by the agreement of all the Arabic MSS. but by the whole argument (see below). On the theory that metals are composed of an aqueous substance and an earthly substance, *cf.* Aristotle, following Heraclitus (W. D. Ross, *Aristotle*, London, 1923, p. 109) : — « There are two 'exhalations' produced by the sun's rays acting on the surface of the earth. When the sun's rays fall on dry land, they draw up from it an exhalation which is hot and dry, and which Aristotle likens for the most part to smoke but also to fire and to wind. When they fall upon water, they draw up an exhalation which like water is moist and cold, and is called the vaporous in opposition to the smoky exhalation. The dry exhalation consists of minute particles of earth on the way to being fire, and exhibiting already, though in a weaker degree, the properties of fire — heat and dryness. The moist exhalation consists of minute particles of water on the way to becoming air, but exhibiting in the main the qualities of water — coldness and moisture ... Aristotle turns next to the effects produced by the exhalations when 'imprisoned' in the earth, i. e. the minerals. These are divided into the metals, which are formed by the moist exhalation, and the 'fossiles', formed of the dry ; most of the latter are said to be either 'coloured powders' or stones formed out of such. »

According to Jâbir ibn Hayyân (see *Chemistry to Dalton*, by E. J. Holmyard, Oxford, 1925, p. 18), there are two constituents of metals, an earthly smoke and a watery steam. Condensation of these exhalations in the bowels of the earth gives rise to sulphur and mercury ; combination of sulphur and mercury results in the formation of metals.

Abu'l-Qasim al-Iraqî (see his *Kitâb al-'Ilm al-Muktaṣab*, edited and translated by E. J. Holmyard, Paris, 1923, p. 13) says : — « The moistness and dryness of which minerals are composed are nothing but watery steam and earthly smoke ; if compounded together in right proportion, they give rise to the six metals, while if the dryness, that is, the smoke, is in too great proportion, then are formed brittle stones such as the marcasites, magnesias, tutias, and the stones related to the mineral substances from *kûhl* and *zarnîkh*, etc. If the moistness, that is, the steam, is in too great proportion, mercury, and nothing else, will result. »

and so are non-malleable ; and because their solidification has been caused mainly by dryness, the majority of them are infusible unless they are subjected to some physical process which facilitates fusion (¹).

Alum and sal-ammoniae belong to the family of salts (²), though sal-ammoniac possesses a fieriness in excess of its earthiness, and may therefore be completely sublimed. It consists of water combined with a hot smoke, very tenuous and excessively fiery, and has been coagulated by dryness.

In the case of the sulphurs, their aquosity has suffered a vigorous leavening with earthiness and aeriness under the leavening action of heat, so far as to become oily in nature ; subsequently it has been solidified by cold.

The vitriols (³) are composed of a salty principle, a sulphureous principle and stone, and contain the virtue of some of the fusible bodies [metals]. Those of them which resemble *qalqand* and *qalqaṭār* (⁴)

فَلَذَكَ لَا يَنْدُوبُ أَكْثَرُهَا لَا إِنْ يَعْتَالُ عَلَيْهِ الْخَمْرُ
1. For this elegant rendering of اَكْثَرُهَا لَا إِنْ يَعْتَالُ عَلَيْهِ الْخَمْرُ we are indebted to Prof. Stapleton.

2. It will be remembered that Al-Rāzī classifies alum as a stone and sal-ammoniac as a spirit. Sal-ammoniac is practically universally classed as a spirit by the Arabs, Ibn Sinā being the only exception so far as we are aware.

3-4. E. Wiedemann has the following informative passage upon vitriols (*Sitzungsberichte der Physikalisch-medizinischen Sozietät in Erlangen*, vol. 43, p. 97, 1911) : — « Bei den Vitriolen erwähnt Dimaschqi einen gelben kyprischen u. s. w. Die Liste der Vitriole ist bei den *Mafātīḥ* sehr unvollständig. Nach Seidel wäre *Qalqaṭār* kupferhaltiger Eisenvitriol ; *Zāg* im allgemeinen Eisensulfat. Eine Reihe von Angaben über den Vitriol hat de Goeje gesammelt gelegentlich der Erwähnung des Vorkommens des Vitriols der Tinte (*Hibr*) in der Nähe von Kairo (*Mugaddasi* S. 209). Sie ist der gelbe Varietät (*Qazwīnī* Bd. 1, S. 226), sie heißt auch al-*qahabī* die goldige, diese kommt in *Karmān* (*Qaz.* Bd. 2, S. 164) vor ; *Ibn al-Baitār* und *Ibn Gazla* nennen sie *Qalqant* oder *Qalqand* oder auch nur *zāg* (*Mas.* Bd. 2, S. 407) ; T. A. sagt, sie gehört zu den Bestandteilen der Tinte. Von ihr ist eine andere Art zu unterscheiden, die auch gelb ist, der Vitriol der Färber (*Sabāyāin*) oder der Schuhflicker (*Asākifa*). Die grüne heißt nach *Qaz.* auch *Qalqaṭār* und *Qalqand*, während *Ibn Baitār* und *Ibn Gazla* die gelbe *Qalqaṭār*, die grüne *Qalqant* nennen. Die weiße Art heißt *Qalqadis* und *Schūgijār* (*Ibn Gazla*) und *Schabb* (*Qaz.*). Die rote aus Zypern kommende *al-sūri*, das griechische σῶρος, ist das wertvollste. Die schwarze wird nur bei *Qazwīn* erwähnt. »

are formed from crude vitriols by partial solution, the salty constituent alone dissolving, together with whatever sulphureity there may

In his book *Kitâb al-Burhân fî Asrâr 'Ilm al-Mîzân*, Al-Jildaki has a section on vitriols, of which the following is a summary : — « There are seven kinds of vitriol, viz. the yellow, the green, the red, *qalqatâr*, *qalqand*, *qalqadîs* and *shâhîra*; they are all naturally-occurring minerals, and from any one of them all the others may be prepared. *Qalqadîs* is white vitriol, *qalqand* yellow, shading off into green and black, *qalqatâr* is yellow vitriol in which are shining golden eyes. *Al-sûrî* is red vitriol; *al-shâhîra* is yellow shading off into green and pure blue. Al-Râzî says that there are seven sorts of vitriol [but cf. footnote 2, p. 33] but mentions only six, and one of these is alum, which is not a vitriol; yet in another place he groups the alums and the vitriols separately in spite of what he said previously. In still another place he says that *qalqadîs* is a white vitriol, which is correct, and that *qalqand* is green vitriol, but this is only partially true for it implies that every green vitriol is *qalqand*, and this is not so. He says too that *qalqatâr* is yellow vitriol and that *al-sûrî* is red vitriol, but does not say how they may be distinguished. Finally he says that the vitriol mines are in Cyprus and that vitriols are formed from [crude] vitriols and alums, which the waters dissolve and carry down with them into the hollows of the earth, after which the heat of the sun coagulates them together. This, however, is only partially true, for the alums are different from the vitriols in nature, reactions and properties. According to Ibn Sînâ, the vitriols are partially soluble bodies, which have been dissolved and then solidified [cf. text]; *qalqatâr* is yellow vitriol, *qalqadîs* is white, *qalqant* is green and *al-sûrî* red. Dioscorides and Galen do not mention *qalqant* among the species of vitriol, but mention *qalqadîs* alone, saying that its name in Greek is *chalkanthos*. It is clear to anyone who examines their writings that *qalqant* with them is *qalqadîs* itself, and that what they call *vitriol* is green vitriol, which Ibn Sînâ calls *qalqant*. Ibn Juljul [cf. Brockelmann, I, 237 — Abû Dârûd Sulaimân ibn Hassan ibn Juljul was physician in ordinary to the Spanish-Arab Caliph Hishâm II al-Mu'ayyad billah, 976-1009 A. D. There is a Latin version of one of his works on simples in the Bodleian : *Supplementum simplicium, quae in Dioscoride desiderantur*] says that whoever maintains that *qalqant* is *qalqadîs* has erred, and that his error proves his ignorance of these two substances. Galen and Dioscorides say that it has been maintained that *shâhîra* is green vitriol, and Ibn Sînâ says the same. Others say that *shâhîra*, which is the vitriol of 'Irâq, is cobblers' vitriol. Abû Ja'far Muhammad ibn Ahmad ibn Sayyid al-Khâfiqain, one of the reliable authorities on simples, quoting from Galen in the 9th. chapter of his book on *Medicinal Simples*, describes the vitriol mines in Cyprus [here follows a long description]. Dioscorides says that the commonest sort of *qalqant* is that which has the colour of lazward [lapis lazuli], and is hard, heavy, clean and pure. I [i. e. Al-Jildaki] say that why vitriols are boiled [and crystallized] is to purify them from their earthly particles which become mixed

be. Coagulation follows, after a virtue has been acquired from a metallic ore. Those that acquire the virtue of iron become red or yellow, *e. g.* *qalqaṭār*, while those which acquire the virtue of copper become green. It is for this reason that they are so easily prepared by means of this art (¹).

Mercury seems to be water with which a very tenuous and sulphureous earth has become so intimately mixed that no surface can be separated from it without something of that dryness covering it. Consequently it does not cling to the hand or confine itself closely to the shape of the vessel which contains it, but remains in no particular shape unless it is subdued (²). Its whiteness is derived from the purity of that aquosity, from the whiteness of the subtle earthiness which it contains, and from the admixture of aceriness with it (³).

A property of mercury is that it is solidified by the vapours of sulphureous substances (⁴); it is therefore quickly solidified by lead (⁵) with them in the mines and weaken their properties. There is general agreement that the choicest sort of *qalqaṭār* is that which is coppery in colour and is easily powdered; it has no stone in it. The best kind of vulture is that which comes from Cyprus. »

1. We have taken the *ل* of *ما يمكن ان يعمل* to be *م*ا *التعجّبية* though the Trinity MS. 1400 reads *unde impossibile est artificialiter ista duo fieri*. A similar use of *ما التعجّبية* occurs later.

2. Probably the meaning is: unless it is amalgamated or sublimed or fixed, *i. e.* converted into a compound.

3. Cf. Geber, *Sum of Perfection*, Third Part, Chap. VI (London, 1678): — « Argentive, which is also called Mercury by the Ancients, is a viscous water in the bowels of the earth, by most temperate Heat united, in a total Union through its least parts, with the substance of white subtle earth, until the humid be tempered by the dry, and the dry by the humid, equally. Therefore it runs easily upon a plain superficies, by reason of its Watery Humidity; but it adheres not, although it hath a viscous Humidity, by reason of the Dryness of that which contemperates it, and permits it not to adhere. It is also, as some say, the Matter of Metals with Sulphur. »

4. *I. e.* converted into sulphides.

5. It is rather difficult to understand why lead should be given as an example of a sulphureous substance which coagulates mercury. Ibn Sinā may be confusing elementary lead with *galena*, PbS, or perhaps صاص, lead, is an error for زنج, *zarnīkh*, arsenic sulphide.

or by sulphur vapour. It seems, moreover, that mercury, or something resembling it, is the essential constituent element (¹) of all the fusible bodies, for all of them are converted into mercury on fusion (²). Most of them, however, fuse only at a very high temperature (³), so that their mercury appears red. In the case of lead, an onlooker does not doubt that this is mercury, since it melts at a lower temperature, but if during the fusion it is heated to the high temperature [mentioned above], its colour becomes the same as that of the other fusible bodies, *i. e.* fiery-red.

It is for this reason, *viz.* that it is of their substance, that mercury so easily clings to all these bodies (⁴). But these bodies differ in their composition from it by reason of variation in the mercury itself — or whatever it is that plays the same part — and also through variation in what is mixed with it and causes its solidification (⁵).

If the mercury be pure, and if it be commingled with and solidified by the virtue of a white sulphur which neither induces combustion (⁶) nor is impure, but on the contrary is more excellent than that prepared by the adepts (⁷), then the product is silver. If the sulphur besides being pure is even better than that just described, and whiter; and if in addition it possesses a tinctorial, fiery, subtle and non-combustive virtue — in short, if it is superior to that which the adepts can prepare — it will solidify the mercury into gold.

Then again, if the mercury is of good substance, but the sulphur which solidifies it is impure, possessing on the contrary a property of combustibility, the product will be copper. If the mercury is corrupt, unclean, lacking in cohesion and earthy, and the sulphur is also

1. عنصر.

2. This naïve interpretation of the nature of a fused metal is common in alchemy.

3. حمّى means literally a vehement heat.

4. *I. e.* amalgamates with the metals.

5. *I. e.* sulphur or something resembling it.

6. غير محرق.

7. *I. e.* the alchemists.

impure, the product will be iron. As for tin, it is probable that its mercury is good, but that its sulphur is corrupt; and that the commingling [of the two] is not firm, but has taken place, so to speak, layer by layer, for which reason the metal shrieks (¹). Lead, it seems likely, is formed from an impure, heavy, clayey mercury and an impure, fetid and feeble sulphur, for which reason its solidification has not been thorough (²).

There is little doubt that, by alchemy, the adepts can contrive solidifications in which the qualities of the solidifications of mercury by the sulphurs are perceptible to the senses, though the alchemical qualities are not identical in principle or in perfection with the natural ones, but merely bear a resemblance and relationship to them (³). Hence the belief arises that their natural formation takes

1. A reference to the well-known *cry of tin*. Cf. Geber, *ed. cit.*, p. 163: — « That there is a twofold substance of argentvive in tin, whereof one is not fixed, and the other fixed, is proved, because it makes a crashing noise before its calcination, but after it hath been thrice calcined, that crashing is not; the reason of this is, because the fugitive substance of its argentvive, making that crashing, is flown away. » [Modern chemistry ascribes the cry to friction of the crystalline particles.]

2. According to Geber, *op. cit.*, p. 166, « lead differs not from tin except that it hath a more unclean substance, commixed of the two more gross substances, viz: of sulphur and argentvive, and that the sulphur in it is burning, and more adhesive to the substance of its own argentvive; and that it hath more of the substance of fixed sulphur to its composition than Jupiter hath. »

3. The passage beginning *There is little doubt* is not easy to render literally, though the meaning is clear, *viz.* that the alchemists can artificially prepare substances which to all appearance are metals, though the apparent qualities are not absolutely identical with those of real metals. The Latin translation evades the difficulty of exactness with *Et artifices gelationem fere similem artificialiter faciunt, quamvis artificialia non eodem modo sunt quo naturalia.*

Prof. Stapleton, in his rough rendering, translates as follows: — « There is little doubt that experts can bring about by various alchemical devices conglutinations, similar to those produced by the union of mercury and the sulphurs, although the artificial products thus obtained are not precisely identical with those occurring in nature, but only bear a more or less close resemblance to them. »

In this rendering, we think that Prof. Stapleton has been too much influenced by the Latin version: no doubt he would have revised it if occasion had arisen.

It will be seen from a later passage [p. 42] that Ibn Sinā was of opinion that

place in this way or in some similar way, though alchemy falls short of nature in this respect and, in spite of great effort, cannot overtake her (¹).

As to the claims of the alchemists (²), it must be clearly understood that it is not in their power to bring about any true change of species. They can, however, produce excellent imitations (³), dyeing the red [metal] white so that it closely resembles silver, or dyeing it yellow so that it closely resembles gold. They can, too, dye the white [metal] with any colour they desire, until it bears a close resemblance to gold or copper; and they can free the leads (⁴) from most of their defects and impurities. Yet in these [dyed metals] the essential nature remains unchanged; they are merely so dominated by indeed qualities that errors may be made concerning them, just as it happens that men are deceived by salt, *galqand*, sal-ammoniac, etc. (⁵).

I do not deny that such a degree of accuracy may be reached as to deceive even the shrewdest (⁶), but the possibility of eliminating or imparting the specific difference has never been clear to me. On the contrary, I regard it as impossible, since there is no way of splitting up one combination into another (⁷). Those properties which are perceived by the senses (⁸) are probably not the differences which

the sensible qualities — in the Aristotelian sense of the word — were perhaps not those which in reality distinguish one metallic species from another; hence his use of the word محسوسة.

1. But the general view of the alchemists was that expressed in a marginal note to one of the Trinity MSS. — *Natura nonnunquam operatur arte incantante qua hic non loquitur*.

2. Here begins the passage so famous in the Middle Ages.

3. تشبیهات حسنة.

4. I. e. lead and tin.

5. Ibn Sinā seems to imply that artificial sal-ammoniac, *galqand*, etc. are not identical with the natural products — a view which is paralleled to-day among the general public, who usually imagine that synthetic indigo, for example, is not veritable indigo but only a very good imitation.

6. الفرقة.

7. لا سبيل الى حل المزيج الى المزيج الآخر.

8. الاحوال المحسوسة.

separate the metals into species, but rather accidents (¹) or consequences (²), the specific differences being unknown. And if a thing is unknown, how is it possible for anyone to endeavour to produce it or to destroy it?

As for the removal or imparting of the dyes [above-mentioned], or such accidental properties as odours and densities, these are things which one ought not to persist in denying merely because of lack of knowledge concerning them, for there is no proof whatever of their impossibility.

It is likely that the proportion of the elements (³) which enter into the composition (⁴) of the essential substance (⁵) of each of the metals enumerated is different from that of any other. If this is so, one metal cannot be converted into another unless the compound is broken up and converted into the composition of that into which its transformation is desired (⁶). This, however, cannot be effected by fusion, which maintains the union and merely causes the introduction of some foreign substance or virtue.

There is much I could have said upon this subject if I had so desired, but there is little profit in it nor is there any necessity for it here (⁷).

1. عوادض.

2. لذام.

3. عناصر.

4. تركيب.

5. جوهر.

6. Cf. the Latin version of this passage. It will be seen that the Arabic says nothing of converting the substance into its prime matter, though perhaps this is implied.

7. The Latin version omits the last sentence: no doubt the sarcasm of it was unpalatable.

Text of the Latin Version

MAXIMI PHILOSOPHORUM ARISTOTELIS DE MINERALIBUS (¹).

Terra pura lapis non fit quia continuacionem non facit sed commu-
tacionem (²) Vincens tamen (³) in ea siecitas non permittit eam con-
glutinari Fiunt autem lapides duobis modis / conglutinacione / et
congelacione. In quibusdam enim lapidibus dominans est terra / In
alijs vero aqua Aliquotiens desiccatur lutum / fitque primum quod
medium est inter lutum et lapidem / et deinceps fit lapis Lutum vero
huic transitioni apparens (⁴) est unctuosum (⁵) / quod enim tale est (⁶)
coniunctivum erit In ipso (⁷) quoque Gion visa est terra que dicitur
in lapidem commuti (⁸) in spacio annorum centum (⁹) De aqua autem
fiunt lapides duobus modis Unus quidem est quod congelatur aqua
guttatim cadens Alius quando descendit de aqua currente aliquid
quod (¹⁰) residet in superficie fundi ipsius / quod fit (¹¹) lapis Sunt
eciam certa loca que aque infusa convertuntur in lapides diversorum
colorum Suntque aque que seorsum accepte non conglutinantur que
si prope alveum suum fundantur / congelantur / fiuntque lapides.

1. TB *Mineralia Avicenne*.
2. TB *communionem*, which agrees better with the Arabic **جذب**.
3. B, L *enīm*.
4. TB *aptius*.
5. TB *viscosum*.
6. B, L *non est*. The sense requires the omission of the negative.
7. B, L *ipsis*. Theatrum Chemicum has, correctly, *in ripis*, in which it agrees with Artis Auriferae and Manget. TB illegible here.
8. B, L *converti*.
9. TB *in spacio xxxiii annorum*. The Arabic has 23 years. Gion is of course *Jālḥūn*, Oxus.
10. B *quiddam*; L *quidam*; TB *quoddam*.
11. B, L omit *quod*. TB *fitque*.

Scimus quoque (¹) quod in terra illa est visi vistal (²) que congelat ipsam (³) Principalia quoque (⁴) lapidum utrorumque (⁵) vel sunt ex substancia lutea unctuosa vel ex substancia in qua vivit (⁶) aqua / que virtute quadam (⁷) congelatur / vel vincit siccitas in ea (⁸) terre faciens eam congelari eodem modo quo coagulatur sal / salem enim non sufficit terrea vis permutare (⁹) sed adiuvat ipsum calor Calor enim adveniens congelat ipsum virtute occulta / et fortassis (¹⁰) fit virtute terrea frigida et sicea. Aqua enim fit terra cum vicerint eam qualitates terre et econverso Est autem res qua utuntur quidam ingeniosi qui (¹¹) voluerunt rem siccatam coagulare que componitur ex duabus aquis et dicitur lac virgineus (¹²) / et hic eius est (¹³) certissimus effectus. Sunt eciam multa alia quibus coagulant et liquefaciunt (¹⁴) certissime. Fiunt ergo lapides ex luto unctuoso per calorem solis vel ex aqua coagulata (¹⁵) virtute terrea ex causa calida desiccativa similiiter quoque quedam vegetabilia et quedam animalia vertuntur in lapides virtute quadam minerali lapidificativa / et fit in loco lapidoso vel discontinuantur subito virtute quadam que exit a terra in hora terre motus que convertit lapides quod consequitur in hora illa (¹⁶) Et hec transmutacio corporum animalium et vegetabilium eque propinqua est (¹⁷) sicut transmutacio aquarum Est autem impossibile

1. B, L *ergo* ; TB *igitur*.
2. B, L *visi visial* ; TB correctly *vis mineralis*.
3. TB *aquas*.
4. B, L *ergo* ; TB *igitur*.
5. B, L *ultraque* ; TB omits.
6. TB correctly *vincit*.
7. TB inserts correctly *minerali*.
8. TB omits.
9. TB omits *permutare*.
10. B, L, TB *fortasse*.
11. B, L omit *qui* ; TB *cum*.
12. TB *virginis*.
13. B, L *est hic eius* ; TB *estque eius*, and *effectus certissimus*.
14. TB inserts *terre*.
15. TA. Page 9, *recto*.
16. TB omits *quod consequitur in hora illa*.
17. B, L omit *est*.

quod aliquid (¹) complexionatum vertatur (²) in unum elementum / sed elementa mutantur ad invicem et sic transeunt in dominans / Unde quod eadit in salinas fit sal / et quod in ignem eadit fit ignis sed quedam ciecius quedam tardius / et hoc est (³) secundum potentiam activarum et existenciam (⁴) passivarum. Est (⁵) locus in Arabia qui colorat omnia corpora in eo existencia colore suo / Panis quoque prope toracem in lapidem conversus est / remansit tamen ei color suus Sunt talia miranda (⁶) / quia raro accidunt Ceterum cause eorum manifeste sunt Sepe eciām fūnt lapides ex igne cum extinguitur / et sepe contingit corpora ferrea vel lapidea cadere cum corruscacionibus quia ignis fit frigidus et siccus cum extincione sua Et in Parthia (⁷) cadunt eciām cum corruscacione corpora aerea ut (⁸) es ustum et similia sagittis hamatis (⁹) et non possunt liquefieri / quia per ignem evaporatum (¹⁰) est (¹¹) fumum viriditati convenientem (¹²) Donec residuum sit (¹³) cinis / Cecidit quoque apud vergetos (¹⁴) frustum (¹⁵) ferri centum librarum arenorum (¹⁶) quod pre duricia sua fere erat infrangibile / missa est tamen pars eius regi cuidam (¹⁷) / qui cum precepisset inde fieri enses erat infabricabile. Dicunt tamen arabes

1. B, L, TB *aliquid*.
2. TB *convertatur*. B, L, TB add *tolum*.
3. TB omits *et hoc est*.
4. B, L, TB *resistenciam*.
5. B, L, TB *estque*.
6. B, L *una* (clearly an error for *mira*) ; TB *mira*.
7. TB *Persia*.
8. B, L *vel*.
9. TB *barbaricis*.
10. TB *evaporant*.
11. TB *in*.
12. TB *attингentem*.
13. B, L, TB *fit*.
14. B, L *vergeci* ; TB *apudragem*.
15. L *frustum*.
16. TB illegible here. MS. 16142 of the Bibliothèque Nationale has *frustum ferri ponderis centum quinquaginta marcarum*, thus agreeing with the Arabic.
17. B, L *corvices* ; TB illegible. Theatrum Chemicum *Torati*. [Arabic Khurāṣān.]

quod enses Laniantii (¹) qui optimi sunt de tali ferro fiunt cum autem ceciderit massa illa resilit a terra aliquotiens ut pila / erat enim (²) composita ex minimis frustulis coeuntibus ad invicem ad quantitatem granorum milii magnorum Similiter (³) quoque huic rei evenit apud tempestatem (⁴) Sic ergo (⁵) fiunt lapides / eorum siquidem generacio vel subito fit per magnum calorem (⁶) accidentem into unctuoso / aut paulatim et successive indurescunt (⁷) Montes quoque quandoque fiunt ex causa essenciali (⁸) cum vehementi terre motu elevatur terra et fit mons. Accidentaliter vero (⁹) ut cum ex ventis vel ex aqua ductu accedit arenatio (¹⁰) profunda et fit paulatim donec fit vasta profunditas et tunc erit (¹¹) iuxta eam magna eminencia Et hec est precipua monium causa. Sunt etiam quedam terre molles / et quedam dure / Molles in aqueductibus (¹², ¹³) ventisque tolluntur Dureque remanent et sic (¹⁴) fit eminencia / fit etiam generacio monium sicut lapidum / quia (¹⁵) aqueductus adducit lutum unctuosum (¹⁶) continue quod longitudine temporis (¹⁷) desiccatur et fit lapis / sed (¹⁸) vis mineralis

1. B, L *alamantii*; TB *alemanie*; Artis Auriferae *alemanici*. [Arabic al-Yamāni.]
2. B, L omit *enim*; TB *qualibet*.
3. TB *simile*.
4. B, L *trepastem*; TB *thorpasten* (?). [Arabic Tabaristān.]
5. TB *igitur*. [TA Page 9 verso.]
6. TB *maximam caliditatem*.
7. TB *vel paulatim vel per multum temporum*; B, L *aut paulatim temporis*.
8. TB adds correctly *quandoque ex accidentaliter*. Most printed editions read *Montes quoque quandoque fiunt ex causa essentiali, quandoque ex causa accidentaliter*.
9. B, L omit *vero*.
10. TB *continuatum* apparently. Most printed editions have, correctly, *cavatio*.
11. B, L *erit ergo*; TB *erit igitur*.
12. B, L *molles quoque aque ductibus*; TB *molles quoque ductibus*.
13. TA here has *quoque* scored through.
14. B, L *neque*; TB *sitque*.
15. TB *que*.
16. TB *illuc viscosum*.
17. TB *per longitudinem temporum*.
18. B, L *et non longe qui sit*; TB *et non longe deficit quod (?) sit hec virtus*.

brevius (¹) vertit aquam (²) in lapides Et ideo in multis lapidibus inveniuntur partes quedam quorundam animalium aquaticorum et aereorum (³). Montes vero per multa tempora facti sunt ut predixi de eis (⁴) sed iam (⁵) sunt decrecentes Vene autem lutee que reperiuntur in eminentibus locis non sunt de materia illa lapidea sed de eo quod (⁶) dimittitur (⁷) de montibus vel terrestris aliqua (⁸) substancia quam adducunt aque cum lutis et herbis que admiscentur cum luto montis vel forte antiquum lutum maris non est unius substancie que (⁹) pars eius fit lapis / et pars eius non sed mollitur et dissolvitur aliqua qualitate vinecente. Maris quoque ascensus (¹⁰) quedam loca cavat (¹¹) / quedam extollit quia (¹²) quandoque totam terram cooperuit / et inde quedam mollia abrasit / dura vero (¹³) reliquit / et ipsa in quibusdam (¹⁴) congesit mollia quedam quoque ab eo congesta cum abscederet ea desiccata sunt et in montes conversa Hec materialia corpora (¹⁵) in quatuor dividuntur species / in lapides / liquefactiva / sulphurea / et sales Et horum quedam sunt rare substancie et debilis complexionis / et quedam fortis substancie (¹⁶) / quedam ductilia (¹⁷) et quedam non Et eorum que debilis substancie sunt / quedam sunt sales ut que

1. B, L, TB omit *brevius*.
2. B, L, TB *vertens aquas*.
3. B, L, TB correctly *aliorum*.
4. B, L *in eis*; TB omits *in eis* and has *ut prediximus*.
5. B, L, TB *nunc*.
6. TB *que*.
7. TB *devolvuntur*.
8. TB *aut.*
9. TB *quarum*.
10. TB *accessus et recessus*.
11. TB inserts *et*.
12. TB *quod*.
13. TB *et dura quedam*.
14. TB adds *locis*.
15. TB correctly, and in agreement with most printed editions, has *Corpora mineralia*.
16. B, L, TB insert *et*.
17. TA page 10 *recto*.

liquefiunt ex humido leviter (¹) ut alumén calcantum et sal armoniacum Et quedam sunt unctuosa / nec liquescunt (²) solo humido (³) facile / ut sulphur et auripigmentum Sed argentum vivum de parte secunda (⁴) est quamvis sit elementum ductilissimum (⁵) sciencie (⁶) / aliquibus ductilibus (⁷) sunt autem ductilia omnia liquabilia / et ut plurimum (⁸) non ductilia neque non liquabilia mollificantur nisi cum magna violencia Et materia liquabilium (⁹) est substancie aquae mixta cum substancia terrea mixtura forti nec potest unum separari ab altero (¹⁰) ut gelatur substancia aque illius (¹¹) cum frigore post accionem caloris in ipsum (¹²) que est obtesis (¹³) et erit exemplum alumén (¹⁴) quod nondum gelavit propter suam unctuositatem et id est ductibile (¹⁵) Lapidea vero de substanciis mineralibus materialiter (¹⁶) sunt aque / sed non congelantur aqua sola / sed eciam cum siccitate que alterat aqueitatem ad terreitatem et non est in eis humor nimis unctuosus et ideo non ducuntur / et (¹⁷) quia eorum coagulacio est ex siccitate non solvuntur ut multum nisi par ingenia naturalia solvencia / Alumen autem et sal armoniacum sunt de genere salis nisi quia igne (¹⁸) inanimato (¹⁹) magis quam terrea / unde et totum sublimatur

1. TB *statim.*
2. TB *liquefiunt.*
3. TB *humore.*
4. TB adds *divisionis mineralium.*
5. B, L *ductissimum*; TB *ductilium.*
6. TB correctly *vel simile.*
7. TB *ductilibus.*
8. B, L *multum*; TB *et sunt multum ductilia non liquabilia* (correctly).
9. TB *ductilium.*
10. TB *altri*; B, L *et*; TB *et congelatur.*
11. TB *istius*, omitting *aqua.*
12. TB *ipsa.*
13. TB *optesis.*
14. TB apparently *a vivo quod non.*
15. TB *et ideo est ductile.*
16. TB *natura.*
17. TB omits *non ducuntur et.*
18. TB *ignis.*
19. TB correctly *in sale armoniaco.*

et ipsum est aqua cum (¹) admiscetur fumus calidus sumo subtili multo igneitati (²) est coagulatum ex siccitate Aquitas vero sulphureorum mixta est (³) cum terra fortí commixtione confectione (⁴) caloris donec facta sunt inctuosa et postea coagulata ex frigore Attramenta vero composita sunt cum (⁵) sale et sulphure et ex lapidibus et est in eis vis aliquorum (⁶) corporum liquabiliū Quod autem ex eis fuerit (⁷) ut calcantum et alachar generantur ex maioribus granis attramenti et non (⁸) solvitur nisi salsedo (⁹) eius cum eo quod est in eo sulphureum et postea coagulatur et illud (¹⁰) iam accepit (¹¹) vim mineralem ab aliquibus corporibus quod autem accepit (¹²) vim ferream erit rubeum et croceum ut calcar Quod vero vim aeream accepit erit viride / unde impossibile (¹³) est (¹⁴) artificialiter ista duo fieri (¹⁵) Argentum vivum vero ut aqua (¹⁶) commisceatur (¹⁷) cum terra nimium (¹⁸) subili sulphurea mixtione fortí ne (¹⁹) quiescat in superficie plana / et hoc est ex siccitate magna que inest illi (²⁰) et ideo non (²¹) adheret

1. TB *cui.*
2. TB incorrectly *aqueitatis.*
3. TB *per mixacionem.*
4. TB correctly *fermentacione.*
5. TB *ex.*
6. TB *aliorum.*
7. TB *flunt.*
8. TA page 10 *verso.*
9. TB *adeo.*
10. TB *idem.*
11. TB *accidit.*
12. TB *ceperit.*
13. B, L, TB *possibile.*
14. B *et;* TB *erit.*
15. TB *a secundo artificialiter fieri.*
16. B, L, TB *que.*
17. B, L, TB *miscetur.*
18. TB *nimis.*
19. TB *donec non.*
20. TB *illa.*
21. TB omits, incorrectly, *non.*

tangenti / est quod (¹) albedo eius ex claritate illius (²) aque / et ex albedine terre subtilis que est in eo / et eciam (³) admixtione (⁴) aeris cum eo quod proximum eius quod coagulatur ex vapore sulphuris (⁵) facile videtur autem quod argentum vivum et aque illi sunt similia ele (⁶) sit (⁷) elementum omnium (⁸) liquabilium / quia omnia liquabilia cum liquantur convertuntur ad ipsum (⁹) / ipsa tamen non liquantur prius (¹⁰) quam calefiant / cumque calefacta fuerint apparent rubea Sed plumbum procul dubio cum liquatur est argentum vivum sed non liquatur nisi prius calefiat Et cum calefactum (¹¹) fuerit convertitur ad colorem communem omnibus liquabilibus ut igneum ruborem et ideo miscetur (¹²) argentum vivum cum illis corporibus quia est de substancia eorum Sed illa (¹³) corpora differunt in compositione sua ab eo illo (¹⁴) modo quo differt argentum vivum ad sua similia (¹⁵) et per commixtionem que (¹⁶) miscentur cum illis donec congeletur / et si (¹⁷) fuerit vivum argentum purum / coget (¹⁸) illud vis sulphuris albi et non urentis et istud (¹⁹) est (²⁰) optimum (²¹) quod (²²) possunt

1. B, L *est que*; TB *Et quoque.*
2. TB *ipsius.*
3. TB inserts *ex.*
4. TB *immixtione.*
5. TB inserts correctly *et forte hoc modo gelatur per plumbum vel ex vapore sulphuris.*
6. TA has *et aque illi sunt similia ele* (sic) scored through. B, L, TB *et que illi sunt similia elementum sunt ...*
7. B, L *sunt.*
8. TB omits *omnium.*
9. TB *ipsa.*
10. TB omits *prius.*
11. TB *liquatum.*
12. TB *promiscetur.*
13. TB *ista.*
14. B, L, TB *illo eo.*
15. TB *a suis similibus.*
16. TB *Et promixtiones alie.*
17. TB *cum.*
18. TB *et congelabit.*
19. TB *id*
20. TB inserts *res.*
21. TB *optima.*
22. TB *quam.*

reperire (⁹) illi qui operantur (²) alkimia (³) ut (⁹) convertant illud in argentum Et si fuerit sulphur nitidum (⁵) optimum cum rubore clarum et fuerit (⁶) in eo vis igneitatis simplicis non urentis / erit r̄es optima quam recipere (⁷) possunt alkimiste ut ex eo fiat aurum Hoc enim ipsum convertit (⁸) / et si fuerit argentum vivum bonum et bone substancie et sulphur non purum quod non sit in eo vis adurens convertet ipsum in aes Argentum autem vivum si fuerit maculatum (⁹) non mundum (¹⁰) terreum porosum / et sulfur (¹¹) non mundum fiet ex eo ferrum In stagno videtur esse argentum vivum bonum sulphur vero malum et non bene mixta (¹²) Plumbi vero argentum vivum est immundum luteum (¹³) et sulphur eius malum / multi (¹⁴) vaporis et fetidi (¹⁵) / unde non (¹⁶) bene congelatur Et artifices gelacionem fere similem (¹⁷) artificialiter faciunt quamvis artificilia non eodem modo sunt quo naturalia nec tam certa licet propinqua sint similia / et ideo creditur quod composicio eius naturalis hoc modo sit vel vicina huic / sed ars est debilior quam natura et non consequitur eam quamvis

1. TB *accipere.*
2. TA page 11 *recto.*
3. TB *alkimiam.*
4. TB *et.*
5. TB *Et fiant sulphur mundum.*
6. TB *fiant.*
7. TB *reperire.*
8. B, L *convertat; TB convertitur.* TB adds *in aurum.*
9. TB *malum.*
10. TB *immundum.*
11. TB adds *sicut.*
12. B, L *et haec si bene mixta.* TB adds *sed tanquam composita.* The printed editions usually have *sed tanquam per parva composita, et ideo non fit tale.* For the last phrase TB has *et ideo bene fit tale.* B, L have *et ideo fit sal.*
13. B, L *Plumbi vero grossi argentum vivum est male ponderosum luteum:* TB *Plumbi vero grossi argentum vivum est malum ponderosum et luteum.*
14. TB *mali.*
15. B, L add *et debilis.*
16. TB omits *non.*
17. B, L *sensibilem.*

multum laboret Quare sciant (¹) artifices alkimie species metallorum (²) mutare (³) non posse / sed similia (⁴) facere possunt et tingere rubeum citrino (⁵) ut videatur aurum / ant tingere albo donec sit multum simile argento (⁶) / aut eri aut (⁷) plumbi immundicias abstergere possunt / verum tamen semper erit (⁸) plumbum quamquam (⁹) videatur argentum optinebunt tamen (¹⁰) in eo alienae qualitatis (¹¹) Ceterum quod (¹²) differencia specifica aliquo tollatur ingenio (¹³) non credo possibile / quia in talibus (¹⁴) non est quod (¹⁵) complexio convertatur quia (¹⁶) ista sensibilia non sunt de quibus mutantur species sed sunt accidentalia et proprietatis (¹⁷). Differencie metallorum (¹⁸) enim (¹⁹) non sunt cognite / et (²⁰) cum differencia non sit cognita quomodo poterit sciri utrum tollatur (²¹) nec ne vel quomodo tolli possit / sed expoliacio intus accidentum ut saporis coloris ponderis vel saltem

1. B, L, TB *sciant autem.*
2. B, L *vere;* TB *aeris.*
3. B, L *permulatri;* TB *permulature.*
4. B, L *insert vel;* TB *inserts alia (?)*.
5. TB *citrone.*
6. B, L *et album tingere colore quo volunt donec sit multum simile auro;* TB *et album tingere colore quo volunt donec sint simile materie aut auro.*
7. B, L omit the second *aut.*
8. TB *esset.*
9. B, L *etsi.* TB *quamvis.*
10. B, L *sed obtinebunt.* TB *optinebuntur in eo qualitates alienas.*
11. B, L *qualitates alienae.* B, L add *ne errant in eo homines nisi quia accipiunt in eo sal armoniacum;* TB adds *ut errant in eo qui accipiunt solem et salem et argentum.* Cf. the Arabic.
12. TB *que.*
13. B, L *insert ego.*
14. B, L omit *quia in talibus* and insert *et.*
15. B, L *insert una.*
16. B, L *quod.*
17. B, L *accidentia et proprietates,* agreeing with TB.
18. TA page 11 verso.
19. B, L *differentiae autem eorum metallorum.*
20. B, L omit *et.*
21. B *cola;* L *colla;* TB *tollitur.*

diminucio non impossibilis (¹) quia tunc hec (²) ratio non stat Ceterum qui (³) proportio terrarum substancialium compositis non erit in omnibus eadem Hec compositio (⁴) in aliam mutari non poterit compositionem nisi forte in primam reducantur materiam et sic in aliud quam prius erat permutatur (⁵) / Hoc autem per solam liquefactionem non fit sed acciduntur (⁶) ei ex hoc res quedam extrance (⁷) / etc. Finis.

1. TB *sed expoliacio activum fieri potest ut colorum vaporum ponderum vel saltem diminucio non est possibile*, with *non est impossible* interlined.
2. TB *contra hoc*.
3. TA has *qui* scored through. TB *ceterum proposicio compositionis substancialium istarum non erit in omnibus* (*eadem* omitted).
4. B, L omit *compositio* but add *ergo*; TB *igitur*.
5. B, L *permuteatur*; TB *permutentur*.
6. L, TB *accidunt*.
7. B, L add *Explicit liber mineralium Aristotelis*; TB adds *Explicit capitulum mineralium Avicenne*.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- Berthelot, M. *La Chimie au Moyen Âge*, Paris, 1893.
- Ferguson, J. *Bibliotheca Chemica*, Glasgow, 1906.
- Fobes, F. H. *Aristotelis Meteorologicorum Libri Quattuor*, Cambridge, Mass., 1919.
- — *Mediaeval Versions of Aristotele's Meteorology*, Classical Philology, X, 1915, pp. 297-314.
- Gilbert, O. *Die meteorologischen Theorien des griechischen Altertums*, Leipzig, 1907.
- Hammer-Jensen, I. *Das sogenannte iv. Buch der Meteorologie des Aristoteles*, Hermes, vol. L, 1915, pp. 113-136.
- Heitz, E. *Die verlorenen Schriften des Aristoteles*, Leipzig, 1865.
- Hoefer, F. *Histoire de la Chimie*, Paris, 1866.
- Holmyard, E. J. *Abū'l-Qāsim al-'Irāqī's Kitāb al-'Ilm al-Muktaṣab*, Paris, 1923.
- Joachim, H. H. *The Works of Aristotle translated into English, De Generatione et Corruptione*, Oxford, 1922. (Oxford Translation of Aristotle).
- — *Aristotle's Conception of Chemical Combination*, Journal of Philology, XXIX, 1903, pp. 72-86.
- Jourdain, A. *Recherches critiques sur l'âge et l'origine des traductions latines d'Aristote*, Paris, 1819 and 1843.
- Kopp, H. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der Chemie*, Braunschweig, 1869.
- Leclerc, L. *Histoire de la médecine arabe*, Paris 1876.
- Lippmann, E. O. von. *Die Entstehung und Ausbreitung der Alchemie*, Berlin, 1919.
- Mély, F. de. *Le Lapidaire d'Aristote*, Revue des Etudes Grecques, VII, 1894, pp. 181-191.
- Schwab, M. *Bibliographie d'Aristote*, Paris, 1896.
- Shute, R. *History of the Aristotelian Writings*, Oxford, 1888.

- Steinschneider, M. *Die Europäischen Uebersetzungen aus dem Arabischen*, Wien, 1904.
- — *Die arabischen Uebersetzungen aus dem Griechischen*, Centralblatt für Bibliothekswesen, 1889.
- Thorndike, L. *History of Magic and Experimental Science during the First Thirteen Centuries of our Era*, London, 1923.
- Ross, W. D. *Aristotle*, London, 1923.
- Ruska, J. *Das Steinbuch des Aristoteles*, Heidelberg, 1912.
- — *Arabische Alchemisten*, I and II, Heidelberg, 1924.
- — *Tabula Smaragdina*, Heidelberg, 1926.
- Vaux, Baron Carra de. *Avicenne*, Paris, 1900.
- Webster, E. W. *The Works of Aristotle translated into English, Meteorologica*, Oxford, 1923. (Oxford Translation of Aristotle).
- Wiedemann, E. *Zur Alchemie bei den Arabern*, Journal für praktische Chemie, neue Folge, Bd. 76, 1907, pp. 78-80.
- Wuestenfeld, E. *Geschichte der arabischen Aerzte und Naturforscher*, 1840.
- Zeller, E. *Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics*, trans. by Costellow and Muirhead, London, 1897.

INDEX OF PERSONS.

- ‘Abdullâh (copyist), 13.
‘Abdu'l-Wahîd ibn Muhammâd, *see* Al-Juzjânî.
Aboul-Kheir el-Hassan ben Saouar, 3.
Abû Ja‘far ibn Muhammâd ibn Alîmad ibn Sayyid al-Khâfiqain, 37.
Abû Ja‘far Muhammâd ibn Dushmanzâr, 8.
Abu'l-Qâsim al-'Irâqî, 7, 35.
Albertus Magnus, 3, 4, 10.
Al-Bîrûnî, 7.
Alexander (the Great), 14.
Alexander Achillini, 14.
Alexander of Aphrodisias, 14.
Al-Fârâbî, 6.
Alfred the Englishman, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 13, 35.
Al-Isthakhri, 19.
Al-Jildaki, 6, 7, 37.
Al-Mâ'mûn, 17.
Al-Râzî, 7, 33, 36, 37.
Al-Tughrâ'i, 11.
Aluredus Anglicus, *see* Alfred the Englishman.
Andreas Alpagus, 12.
Aristotle, 1, 3-5, 8, 9, 14, 17, 27, 28, 35.
Averrhoës (Ibn Rushd), 14.
Avicenna, *see* Ibn Sina.

Bacon, Roger, 10.
Bar Bahloul, 21.
Barbier de Meynard, 19.
Benedictus, Hector, 14.
Bentivolus, Johannes, 14.

- Berthelot, M. P. E., 7, 21.
Blanchard, A., 14.
Bonus, Petrus, 4.
Brockelmann, C., 12, 37.
Burton, Sir R. F., 22.

Carra de Vaux, 8, 17.

de Goeje, 36.
de Mély, 1, 2, 4.
de Slane, 5, 17.
Dieterici, 33.
Dimaschqî, 36.
Diodorus Euchyontis, 21.
Dioscorides, 37.
Doughty, C., 23.
Dozy, 23.
Dudu, 24.

Entemena, 24.

Fleischer, 33.
Fluegel, 6.
Fobes, F. H., 3.
Freytag, 17.

Galen, 37.
Geber, *see* Jâbir ibn ॥ayyân.
Gerard of Cremona, 3, 9, 10.
Gundisalvus, Dominicus, 12.

Hadfield, Sir R. A., 25.
॥âjji Khalifa, 6.
Hammer-Jensen, 2.
Hamzah, 19.

- Haskins, C. H., 9, 10.
Henricus Aristippus, 3, 9.
Heraclitus, 35.
Herodotus, 28.
Ilishâm II, 37.
Holmyard, E. J., 35.
- Iahya ben Bathriq, 3.
Ibn Abî Uṣaybi‘a, 8.
Ibn al-Baiṭâr, 36.
Ibn al-Faqîh, 19.
Ibn Arfa‘ Ra’s, 7.
Ibn Gazla, 36.
Ibn Juljul, 37.
Ibn Kakwayh, *see* Muḥammad ibn Dushlmauzâr.
Ibn Mašhakzâr, 26.
Ibn Sînâ (Avicenna), 4-8, 10-12, 18, 21, 24-28, 31, 34, 36-38, 40, 41.
Ilak Khan, 24.
Ismâ‘îl ibn Sabaktagîn, 24.
- Jâbir ibn Ḥayyân (Geber), 4, 22, 35, 38, 40.
Johnson, W., 21.
Jourdain, 3.
- Khwansârî, Âqâ Jamâl al-Dîn, 12.
- Lane, 17, 19, 22, 23, 30.
Lane-Poole, 24, 26.
Leonardo da Vinci, 28.
- Maḥmûd Ghîznî, 8.
Maḥmûd ibn Sabaktagîn, 24.
Majd al-Daula, 26.
Manget, 4, 14, 26.
Margoliouth, 12.

- Miller, F. J., 27.
Muhammad Ḥusainī, 12.
Muhammad ibn Dushmanzār, 8, 26.
Muhammad Ṣādiq ibn Ḥājjī ‘Abd al-Ḥakim, 12.
Mulla Ṣadra, 12.
Muslim ibn al-Wahid, 25.
- Nāṣir-i-Khusraw, 24.
Naumann, 33.
Neckham, Alexander, 10.
Nicholas of Damascus, 10.
Nicholson, R. A., 25.
- Ovid, 27.
- Pythagoras, 27.
- Qazwīnī, 36.
- Roger of Hereford, 10.
Rose, 3.
Ross, W. D., 35.
- Salmoné, 30.
Samā al-Daula, 8.
Schwarzglose, 25.
Shams al-Danla, 8.
Stapleton, H. E., 14, 18-20, 22, 29, 31, 36, 40.
Steele, R., 11.
Steinschneider, 17.
Steno, N., 28.
Strabo, 28.
- Thorndike, L., 10.
Tughril Beg, 26.

Ur-Nina, 24.

van Vloten, 17, 33.

Vincent of Beauvais, 3, 4.

Voltaire, 2.

Wiedemann, E., 36.

William I of Sicily, 3.

Xenophanes, 28.

Yaqut, 19.

SUBJECT INDEX.

- Accidental cause, 27.
Aeroliths, attempted working of, 23-25.
 fall of, 8, 23.
 formation of, 2.
Alchemy, 4-6, 11, 40-42.
Al-Durr al-Maknûn, 7.
Alum, 34, 36.
Arabia, 22.
Arabic text, sources of, 12, 13.
Arsenic, 7, 34.
Artis auriferae, 14.

Book of Definitions, 11.
Book of the Twelve, 7.
Brethren of Purity, 4.
Breve Breviarum, 10.

Canon of Medicine, 8.
Chimie au Moyen Âge, 7.
Clay, for washing heads, 19.
 petrifaction of, 19.
Composition of the *Shifâ'*, date of, 8.
Copper, 5, 7, 38, 39.
Coracem, 1.
Cultivation of Gold, 7.

Dailam, 23.
De motu cordis, 10.
De vegetabilibus, 9, 10.
Diwân Shudhûr al-Dhahab, 7.
Dyeing of metals, 7, 41, 42.

- Earthquakes, 22, 27.
End of the Search, 7.
Ephtesis, 4.
Epsesis, 4.
Eptesis, 4.
Essential cause, 26.
Exhalations, 4.
- Fossils of aquatic animals, 28.
Fusible substances, 33.
- Gold, 5, 7, 39, 41.
Guarded Pearl, 7.
- Hamadlân, 8.
Haqâ'iq al-Istishbâd, 11.
Harra, 22.
Hills, formation of, 26-31.
- Iron, 5, 7, 38, 40.
Iṣfahân, 8, 26.
- Jâjarm, 23.
Jîl, 23.
Jûzjânân, 24.
- Khurâsân, 23, 24.
Khwarazm, 23.
Kitâb al-Ahjâr, 7.
Kitâb al-Athnâ 'Ashriyât, 7.
Kitâb al-Hudûd, 12.
Kitâb al-'Ilm al-Muktasab, 7.
- Lae virginis*, 21.
Lapidaire d'Aristote, 1.

- Lapidary* of Al-Bîrûnî, 7.
Latin text, sources of, 13, 14.
Latin translation, date of, 9, 10.
Lead, 5, 7, 38-40.
Lurgeam, 1.

Malleable bodies, composition of, 34.
Margarita Preciosa Novella, 4.
Mercury, 1, 7, 34, 38-40.
Meteorologica, 1-4.
Minerals, classification of, 33.
 formation of, 33-42.
Mountains, formation of, 18 ff.

Nihâyat al-Talab, 7.

Optesis, 4.

Particles of Gold, 7.
Petrification of a loaf, 23.
Prolegomena of Ibn Khaldûn, 5.

Qalqand, 34, 41.
Qalqaṭâr, 36-38.

Raghif, 23.

Sal ammoniac, 7, 36, 41.
Salt, 20, 41.
Salt-pans, 22.
Salts, 33.
Secondary cause, 18.
Sedimentary clay, 31.
Silver, 5, 7, 39, 41.
Specific differences of metals, 5, 6, 42.

- Stones, composition of, 35.
 formation of large, 26.
- Stratification, explanation of, 31.
- Sulphur, 33, 36, 39, 40.
- Swords, said to have been struck from meteoric iron, 25.
- Tabaristān, 26.
- Tin, 5, 40.
 cry of, 40.
- Tractatus Trium Verborum*, 10.
- Transmutation, possibility of, 6, 11, 40-42.
- Virgin's milk, 21.
- Vitriol, 34, 36, 37.
- Water, congelation of, 19, 20.
- Zinc, 5.
-

كتاب الشفاء

لابي علي حسين بن عبد الله المعروف

بابن سينا

الفن الخامس من الطبيعتات
في الآثار العلوية
قد اعني بطبعه ونشره
ارك يحيى هوليارد
الاستاذ الاول في علوم الكيمياء بمدرسة كلفن
بالمدينة الحروسة برستل

طبع

في باريز الحروسة بطبعه فول غايتير

١٩٢٧

سنة م

كتاب الشفاء

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

[١٤٤٦] الفن الخامس من الطبيعتيات

في الآثار العلوية مقالتان [١] هذا الفن يشتمل على علل أكون الكائنات

التي لا نفس لها من المعادن والآثار العلوية وما يشبهها [٢]

المقالة الأولى فيما يحدث من ذلك بناحية الأرض [٣]

فصل في الجبال لبتدئ اولاً وتحقق حال تكون الجبال والباحث التي تجب

ان تعلم في ذلك او لها [٤] حال تكون الحجارة [٥] والثاني حال تكون الحجارة

الكبيرة [٦] او الكثيرة والثالث حال تكون [٧] ما يكون له ارتفاع وسوء

فقول [٨] اما في الاكثر فان الارض الحالصة لا تتحرر لان استيلاء اليأس

على الارض لا يفيدها امتساكاً بل نفتاً واما تكون [٩] الحجارة في الاكثر

على وجهين من التكون احدها [١٤٥أ] على سبيل التفصير والثاني على

1. C omits this phrase.

2. C omits وما يشبهها.

3. B . فيما يحدث بناحية الأرض من ذلك .

4. او لها .

5. الجبال C .

6. B . الكثيرة او الكثيرة .

7. A omits . تكون .

8. The Latin translation begins here.

9. B متكون .

سبيل الجمود فان كثيراً من الاحجار تكون^[1] من الجوهر الغالب فيه الارضية وكثيراً منها ما تكون^[2] من الجوهر الغالب فيه المائية وكثير من الطين يحفر ويستحيل اولاً شيئاً بين الحجر والطين وهو حجر رخو ثم يستحيل حجراً واولى الطينيات بذلك ما كان لزجاً فان لم يكن لزجاً فانه يتفتت في اكثر الامر قبل ان يتحجر وقد شاهدنا في طفوليتنا مواضع كان فيها^[3] الطين الذي يغسل به الراس وذلك في سطح جيحوون ثم شاهدناه وقد^[4] تحجر حجراً^[5] رخواً وذلك في مدة^[6] قريبة^[7] من ثلاثة^[8] وعشرين سنة وقد تكون الحجارة من الماء السعال على وجهين احدها ان يحمد الماء كما يقطر او كما^[9] يسيل برمته والثانى ان يرسب منه في سيلانه شيء يازم وجه مسيله وقد^[10] يتحجر وقد شوهدت مياه تسيل^[11] فما يقطر منها على موضع معالم ينعقد حجراً او حصى مختلفة الالوان وقد شوهد ماء قطر اذا اخذ لم يجد واذا انصب على ارض حجرية تقرب من مسيله انعقد في الحال حجراً فعلينا ايضاً ان لتلك

1. ي تكونون .
2. ما تكون for تتكون .
3. فيه .
4. A omits و .
5. تتعجزا تتعجزا A ; تتعجز تتعجزا B .
6. A, B والعدة .
- 7: C غريبة .
8. ثلث .
9. A omits this كما .
10. C for و .
11. A يسيل .

الارض قوة معدنية مجّمدة^[11] تحيل السياں الى الجمود فبادى تكون الحجارة اما جوهر طيني لزج واما جوهر يغلب فيه المائة وهذا القسم يجوز ان يكون جوده من قوة معدنية مجّمدة ويجوز ان يكون قد غالب عليه الارضية على الوجه الذى ينعقد به الملحق بان غلت الارضية فيه بالقوة دون المقدار وان لم يكن على نحو كيفية الارضية التي في الملحق بل على كيفية اخرى ولكن تكون^[2] مشاركة لها في انها تتقلب^[3] بمعاونة الحرارة فكما يصيّه^[4] الحر يعتقد او قوة اخرى مجهولة عندنا ويجوز ان يكون بالضد فـيكون^[5] ارضيته يتقلب^[6] بقوّة باردة يابسة بيته^[7] وبالجملة فان الماء في طباعه على ما علمت ان^[8] يستحيل الى الارضية من غلبة قوة للارضية^[9] والارض ايضاً كما علمت في طباعها^[10] ان تستحيل الى المائة من غلبة قوة المائة وهاهنا ثمة يخنده قوم ضلو في حيلهم يسمونه لبن العذراء اذا شاؤوا وهو مركب من شيئاً يعتقد جوهرأ جاسياً^[11] وذلك يدل على صحة هذا ولم اشيء كثيرة مما

1. B and C omit . مجّمدة .
2. يكون .
3. تتقلب C ; ينعقد .
4. يصيّه .
5. فـيكون .
6. تتغلب .
7. تعـيـة .
8. C omits ان .
9. الارض .
10. A, B طباعه .
11. A جاشيا or جاشيا .

يَخْذُونَه حَلَّاً وَعِقْدًا تَصْدِقُ هَذِه الْحَكَمَاتُ فَتَكُونُ الْأَحْجَارُ إِذَا اتَّفَخَيْرُ الطِينِ الْلَّزِجَ فِي الشَّسْسِ وَامْتَانًا لَانْقَادِ الْمَائِيَّةِ مِنْ طَبِيعَةِ مِيَّسَةِ أَرْضِيَّةِ أَوْ سَبَبِ بَحْفَفِ حَارَّ وَانْ كَانَ مَا يَحْكِمُ مِنْ تَحْجُرِ حَيْوَانٍ^[1] وَنَبَاتٍ صَحِيحًا فَالسَّبَبُ فِيهِ شَدَّةُ قُوَّةِ مَعْدِنِيَّةِ مَحْجَرَةٍ يَحْدُثُ فِي بَعْضِ الْبَقَاعِ الْحَجَرِيَّةِ أَوْ يَنْفَصِلُ دَفْعَةً مِنَ الْأَرْضِ فِي الْلَّازِلِ وَالْخَسْوَفِ فَيَحْجُرُ مَا يَلْقَاهُ فَإِنَّهُ لَيْسَ اسْتِحَالَةً لِلْأَجْسَامِ الْحَيَوَانِيَّةِ وَالْبَنَائِيَّةِ إِلَى الْحَجَرِيَّةِ بَعْدَ مِنْ اسْتِحَالَةِ الْمَيَّاهِ وَلَا مِنْ الْمُمْتَنَعِ فِي^[2] الْمَرْكَبَاتِ إِنْ يَغْلِبُ عَلَيْهِ قُوَّةُ عَصْرٍ وَاحِدٍ يَسْتَحِيلُ إِلَيْهِ لَانْ كُلُّ وَاحِدٍ مِنَ الْعَنَاصِرِ الَّتِي فِيهَا مَمَّا لَيْسَ مِنْ جَنْسِ ذَلِكِ الْعَنَصَرِ فَشَانِهِ إِنْ يَسْتَحِيلُ إِلَى ذَلِكِ الْعَنَصَرِ وَلَذِلِكَ^[3] مَا يَسْتَحِيلُ^[4] الْأَجْسَامُ الْوَاقِعَةُ فِي الْمَلَاحَاتِ إِلَى الْمَلْحِ وَالْأَجْسَامُ الْوَاقِعَةُ فِي الْحَرِيقِ إِلَى النَّارِ وَمَا السُّرْعَةُ وَالْأَبْطَأُ فِي الْاسْتِحَالَةِ فَامْرِ يَجُوزُ إِنْ يَخْتَافَ إِيْضًا بِحَسْبِ الْقُوَّى الْمُخْتَفِفَةِ فَإِنْ كَانَتْ شَدِيدَةً جَدًا احْتَالَتْ فِي زَمَانٍ يَسِيرٍ وَفِي بَلَادِ الْعَرَبِ حَرَّةً^[5] كُلُّ مَنْ يَسْكُنُ إِلَيْهَا جَسْمٌ يَقْعُدُ فِيهَا يَتَلَوَّنُ بِلَوْنِهِ وَقَدْ رَأَيْتَ رَغِيفًا عَلَى صُورَةِ الْأَرْغَفَةِ الْمُرْقَفَةِ^[6] الْوَسْطُ الْمَرْقُومَةُ بِالسَّبَاعِ قَدْ تَحْجُرُ بِلَوْنِهِ بَاقِيًّا وَاحِدًا وَجَهِيهِ عَلَيْهِ اِثْرُ التَّخْطِيطِ الَّذِي يَكُونُ فِي التَّنُورِ وَوَجْدَتُهُ مُلْقًى فِي جَبَلٍ قَرِيبٍ مِنْ بَلَدَةِ^[7]

1. B, C . حَيَوانَاتٍ .

2. C . مِنْ .

3. B . لِهَذَا .

4. C omits . إِلَى ذَلِكِ الْعَنَصَرِ وَلَذِلِكَ مَا يَسْتَحِيلُ .

5. A . الْمُرْقَفَةُ B ; الْمُرْقَفَةُ .

6. A . بَلَدَةً .

خراسان يسمى جاجرم وحملته معى مدة^[1] وهذه الاشياء انما تستغرب^[2] لقلة وقوعها فاما^[3] اسبابها في الطبيعة ظاهرة موجودة [Page 145b] وقد يتكون انواع من الحجارة من النار اذا طفيت^[4] وكثيراً ما يحدث في الصواعق اجسام حديدية وحجرية بسبب ما يعرض للنار التي ان تطهاف فتصير باردة يابسة وقد يقع في بلاد الترك في الصواعق والبروق اجسام خاصية على هيئة نصوں السهام لها زيادة منعطفة الى فوق ويقع منها في بلاد الجيل والدليم واذا وقعت غارت في الارض ويكون جوهر جميع ذلك جوهرآ نحاسيآ يابساً^[5] وقد تكفلت اذابة نصل من ذلك بخوارزم^[6] فلم يذب ولم يزلي يخلل^[7] منه دخان ملوّن يضرب الى الحضرة حتى بقى منه جوهر رمادي وقد صخ عندي بالتواتر ما كان يبلاد جوزجانان^[8] في زماننا الذي ادركناه من امر حديد لعله يزن مائة وخمسين منا نزل من الماء فنفذ^[9] في الارض ثم نبا نبوة^[10] او نبوتين^[11] نبو الكرة التي يرمي^[12] بها الحاطط ثم عاد فتشب في

1. C . فبقى مدة .
2. A ; سرب B ; يستغرب .
3. A, B . واما .
4. طفعت B ; طفت .
5. A omits . يابساً .
6. C . نحو اذنم .
7. In C, by a copyist's error, the last sentence from جوهرآ نحاسيآ is repeated.
8. B ; حوزستان C .
9. C . فنفذ .
10. C . نبأة .
11. C . نباتتين .
12. C . يرمي .

الارض وسمع الناس لذلك صوتا عظيما هائلا^[1] فلما تقدوا امره ظفروا به وحمل الى وآلى جوزجان ثم كاتبه سلطان خراسان في عصرنا وهو الامير يمين الدولة وامين الله ابو القسم محمود بن سبكتكين الظفر الغلب يرسمه له انفاذ او انفاذ قطعة منه فتعذر نقله خالوا كسر قطعة منه فما كانت الآلات تعمل فيه الا بجهد وكان كل مثقب وكل مقطع^[2] يعمل فيه ينكسر لكنهم فصلوا منه اخر الامر شيئا^[3] فانفذوه اليه ورام ان يطبع منه شيئاً فتعذر عليه وحکى ان جملة ذلك الجوهر كان ملشقاً من اجزاء جاويسية صغارة مستديرة الصق بعضها بعض وهذا الفقيه ابو عبيد الله عبد الواحد بن محمد الجوزجاني صاحب^[4] شاهد هذا كله وتحدث ان كثيراً من السيوف اليمانية الجليلة انا اتخد من مثل هذا الحديد وشعراء العرب قد وصفوا ذلك في شعرهم فهذا جنس من تكون الحجارة وحدثني قة من مشائخ دولة اصبهان وهو ابو منصور هرمز ديار بن مشكرار قريب الامير الجليل ابى^[5] جعفر محمد بن دشمنزار^[6] رحمه الله^[7] انه وقع في جبال طبرستان من الموارد ما صفة وقعه صفة وقع هذا الحديد الا انه كان حجارة كبيرة^[8] فهذا جملة القول في تكون الحجر * واما تكون حجر

1. C transposes these two words.

2. C omits وكل مقطع .

3. شيئاً اخر الامر C .

4. A inserts هذا after صاحبى .

5. A . الامير ابى A .

6. دشمنزار B ; دشمنزدار A .

7. A omits ; B حرس الله عزوة .

8. A, B كثيرة .

كَبِيرٌ فِي كُونِهِ امَا دَفْعَةً وَذَلِكَ بِسَبَبِ حَرَقَّتِيْمَ يَغْاصِ طَبِيَّاً كَثِيرًا لِزَجَّاً وَاتَّا
انْ يَكُونَ قَلِيلًا قَلِيلًا عَلَى تَوَاتِرِ الْأَيَّامِ^[11]

وَامَّا^[12] الارْتِقَاعَ فَقَدْ يَقْعُدُ لِذَلِكَ سَبَبُ الْأَذَّاتِ وَقَدْ يَقْعُدُ لِهِ سَبَبُ الْعَرْضِ
امَّا السَّبَبُ الْأَذَّاتِ فَكَمَا يَتَفَقَّعُ عِنْدَ كَثِيرٍ مِنَ الْزَلَّالِ الْقَوِيَّةِ أَنْ يَرْفَعَ الْرِيحُ
الْفَاعِلَةُ لِلزَلَّالِ طَافِيَّةً مِنَ الْأَرْضِ وَيَحْدُثُ رَأْيَةً مِنَ الرَوَابِيِّ دَفْعَةً وَامَّا الَّذِي
بِالْعَرْضِ فَإِنْ يَعْرُضَ لَبْعَضَ الْأَجْزَاءِ مِنَ الْأَرْضِ انْحِفَارًا^[13] دُونَ بَعْضِ بَانِ
تَكُونَ^[14] رِيَاحٌ نَسَافَةً أَوْ مِيَاهٌ حَفَّارَةٌ تَنْفُو لَهَا حَرْكَةٌ عَلَى جَزْءٍ مِنَ الْأَرْضِ دُونَ
جَزْءٍ فَيَنْحُفِرُ مَا يَسْيِلُ عَلَيْهِ وَيَقِنُ مَا لَا يَسْيِلُ عَلَيْهِ رَأْيَاهُ ثُمَّ لَا يَزَالُ السَّيُولُ
تَنْفُصُ^[15] فِي الْحَفَرِ الْأَوَّلِ إِلَى أَنْ يَغُورَ غُورًا شَدِيدًا وَيَقِنُ مَا انْحُفِرَ عَنْهُ
شَاهِقًا وَهَذَا كَالْتَحْقِيقُ مِنْ أَمْوَالِ الْجَبَلِ وَمَا يَنْهَا مِنَ الْحَفَرِ وَالْمَسَالِكِ وَرَبِّيَا
كَانَ الْمَاءُ وَالْرِيحُ مُتَفَقِّهُ الْفَيْضَانُ إِلَّا أَنَّ الْأَجْزَاءَ مِنَ الْأَرْضِ تَكُونُ مُخْتَلِفَةً
فِي كُونِهِ بَعْضُهَا لَيْنَةً وَبَعْضُهَا حَجَرِيَّةً فَيَنْحُفِرُ التَّرَابُ الْلَّيْنُ وَيَقِنُ الْحَجَرِيُّ
مُرْتَفَعًا ثُمَّ لَا يَزَالُ ذَلِكَ الْمَسِيلُ يَنْحُفِرُ وَيَنْحُفِرُ عَلَى الْأَيَّامِ وَيَتَسَعُ وَيَقِنُ
الشَّوَّهُ^[16] وَكَمَا انْحُفِرَ عَنْهُ الْأَرْضُ كَانَ شَهْوَةً^[17] أَكْثَرُ فَهَذِهِ هِيَ الْأَسْبَابُ

1. This is the end of *Caput I*; *Caput II*, *De causa montium*, follows immediately.

2. تَوَاتِر .

3. بَانِ . انْحِفَار .

4. يَكُونَ .

5. تَعْرُض .

6. A. النَّتَّوَرُ C ; السَّوْرُ B ; التَّنَتَّورُ A .

7. C. شَهْوَةً .

الاكثرية لهذه الاحوال الللة فالجبال تكونها من احد اسباب تكون الحجارة والغالب ان تكونها من طين لزج جف على طول الزمان ويحجر في مدد لا يضبط فيشه ان تكون هذه المغيرة قد كانت في سالف الايام ^[1] غير مغيرة بل مغيرة في البحار فتحجرت اما بعد الانكشاف قليلا قليلا [Page 146 a] في مدد لا تقوى التارikhات تحفظ اطرافها واما تحت المياه لشدة الحرارة المختلفة تحت البحر والاولى ان تكون بعد الانكشاف وان تكون تعيينا طينتها على التحجر اذ تكون طينتها لزجة ولهذا ما يوجد في كثير من الاحجار اذا كسرت اجزاء الحيوانات المائة كاصداف وغيرها فلا يبعد ان تكون القوة المعدنية قد تولدت هناك فاعانت ايضا وان تكون مياه قد استحالات ايضا حجارة لكن الاول ان يكون تكون الجبال على هذه الجملة وكثرة ما فيها من الحجرية لكثرة ما يشتمل عليه ^[2] البحر من الطين ثم ينكشف عنه وارقاءها لما حفرته السيل والرياح فيما بينها فانك اذا تأملت اكثر الجبال رأيت الانهيار الفاصل فيما بينها متولدآ من السيل ولكن ذلك امر انما تم وكان ^[3] في مدد كثيرة ^[4] فلم يق لكل سيل اثره بل انما يرى اثر الاقرب منها عهدا واكثر الجبال الان انما هي في الارضاض ^[5] والتفت وذلك لأن عهد نشوئها ^[6]

1. الزمان . C.

2. B omits ما يشتمل عليه for has .

3. كان وتم . C.

4. كثير . A.

5. الامريرضاض . B.

6. نشوئها . A.

وتكونها اما كان مع اكتشاف المياه عنها يسيراً [1] والان فانها في سلطان التفت الا ما شاء الله من جبال ان كانت تزايد بسبب [2] مياه تتحجر فيها او سيول تودي اليها طيناً كثيراً فتحجر فيها فقد بلغنى كما احسب انه قد شهد ذلك في بعض الجبال واما ما شاهدته انا في سط جيرون وليس ذلك الموضع مما يستحق ان يسمى جيلاً فما كان من هذه النكشفات اصل طينة واقوى تحجراً واعظم حجماً [3] فانه اذا انهم ما [4] دونه بقى ارفع واعلى [5] واما عروق الطين الموجودة في الجبال فيجوز ان تكون تلك العروق ليست من صميم مادة التحجر لكنها من جهة [6] ما نفت [7] من الجبال ترب واملاة في الاودية والمجااج وسالت عليه المياه ورطبتها وغشتها ارهاص الجبال او خللتها [8] طينتها الجيدة ويجوز ايضاً ان يكون القديم من طين البحر غير متفق الجوهر فيكون من ترتيبه [9] ما يتحجر تحجراً قوياً ومنه ما لا يتحجر ومنه يسترخي تحجره لكيفية [10] ما [11] غالبة فيه او ليس من الاسباب التي لا تعد ويجوز ان

يعرض للبحر ايضاً ان يفيض قليلاً قليلاً على بر مختلط من سهل و جبل ثم ينصب عنه^[1] فيعرض للسهل منه ان يستحيل طيناً ولا يعرض ذلك للجبل واذا استحال طيناً كان مستعداً لان تتحجر عند الانكشاف ويكون تحجره تحجراً شانياً قوياً واذا اوقع^[2] الانكشاف على ما تتحجر فربما يكون التحجر القديم في حد ما استعدّ للتفتت ويجوز ان يكون ذلك يعرض له عكس ما عرض للترابة من ان هذا يرطب ويلين ويعود تراباً وذلك يستعد للحجريه كما اذا انقعت^[3] الاجرة وتراباً وطيناً^[4] في الماء ثم عرضت الاجرة والطين الطيب على النار عرض الاجرة^[5] ان زادها الانتفاع^[6] استعداد التفتت^[7] بالنار ثانياً وللتراب والطين ان استعدت لاستحجارة قوى ويجوز ان ينكشف البحر عن البر وعنقد طينه^[8] وقد يرى بعض الجبال كأنه منضود ساقاً فساقاً فيشبهه^[9] ان يكون ذلك قد كانت طينتاً في وقت ما كذلك ساقاً فساقاً بان^[10] كان ساف ارتك او لا ثم حدث بعده في مدة اخرى ساف اخر ارتك وكان قد سال على ساف جسم من^[11]

1. C ينصب ; omits .
2. اوقع .
3. اتفقت C ; تفتت B .
4. طيناً .
5. الاجرة .
6. B الانتفاع C ; الاستفادة .
7. B, C استعداداً B للتفتت .
8. عن البحر وكل بعد طبقة C .
9. ويشبهه .
10. ان C ; بان B ; بان A .
11. عن A .

خلاف جوهره فصار حايل ينه وبين الساف الآخر فلما تحجرت الماده عرض
للحایل ان انشق وانتشر^[1] عما بين السافین وارض^[2] البحر قد تكون طبته
رسویة وقد تكون طبته قديمة ليست رسویة ويشبه ان يكون ما يعرض له
انفصال الارهاص^[3] من الجبال^[4] رسویا فهكذا تكون الجبال

[Here follow two *fasls* not rendered into Latin. The first iss

١ فصل في منافع الجبال وتكون السحب والاندية

and the second

٢ فصل في منابع المياه وفي الزلازل

1. *On the uses of mountains, and on the formation of clouds and rain, etc.*
2. *On the gushing-forth of waters, and on earthquakes.*

مكتبة كلية التربية والعلوم الإنسانية - جامعة الملك عبد الله بن عبد الرحمن

1. *انتشر*.
2. C inserts before — ارض دان حايلا من .
3. ب العروض .
4. C inserts كان .

[Page 148 b] فصل في تكون المعديات

وقد حان لنا ان نتكلم الآن [١] في احوال الجواهر المعديية فنقول ان الاجسام المعديية بکاد ان [٢] يكون اقسامها اربعة الاحجار والذایيات والکباریت والاملاح وذلك ان من الاجسام المعديية ما هو سخيف الجوهر ضعیف التركيب والزجاج ومنه ما هو قوى الجوهر [٣] فنه ما ينطرق ومنه ما لا ينطرق وما هو ضعیف الجوهر فنه ما هو ملحي تحله الرطوبة بسهولة مثل الشب [٤] والزاج [٥] والنوشادر [٦] والقلقند ومنه ما هو دهنی لا يخل بالرطوبة وحدها بسهولة مثل الكبريت والزرنيخ واما الزريق فهو من جملة القسم الثاني على انه عنصر المنطرقات او شبيه عنصر المنطرقات [٧] وجميع المنطرقات ذاته ولو بالحيلة واکثر ما لا ينطرق لا يذوب بالاذابة الرسمية واما يلين بعسر ومادة الجوهر المائی منه بالبرد بعد فعل الحریفه وانضاجه ويكون في جملة ما هو حی بعد لم يجید لدهنيته ولذلك ينطرق واما الحجریات من الجواهر المعديية

1. A, B omit *الآن*. This *faṣl* forms *Caput III* of the Latin version.

2. A omits *ان*.

3. C inserts *و ما هـ و قوى الجوهر*.

4. C omits *السب*.

5. C omits *والزاج*.

6. C omits *والنوشادر*.

7. C omits the phrase from *او*.

الجلبية^[1] فادتها ايضاً مائة ولكن ليس جمودها بالبرد وحده بل جمودها
باليس المigel للمائة الى الارضية وليس فيها رطوبة حية دهنية فلذلك لا
ينطرق ولاجل ان اكثر انعقادها باليس فلذلك^[2] لا يذوب اكثرها الا
ان يختال عليه بالحigel الطبيعية المذيبة واما الشعب والنوسادر فعن جنس
الاملاح الا ان ناريه النوسادر اكثر من ارضيته فلذلك يتصلد بكليته فهو
ماه خالطه دخان حار لطيف جداً كثير الناريه وانعقد باليس واما الكباريت
فانها قد عرض لمايتها ان تخترت بالارضية والموائمه تختراً شديداً بخمير^[3]
الحرارة حتى صارت دهنية ثم انعقدت بالبرد واما الزجاجات^[4] فانها مركبة من
ملحية وكبريتية وحجارة وفيها^[5] قوة بعض الاجسام الذائية وما كان منها
مثل القلند والقلقطار فكونها من حالة الزجاجات وانما تخل منها الملحيه مع
ما فيها من الكبريتية ثم ينعقد وقد استفادت قوه معدن احد الاجسام فما
استفاد من قوه الحديد احمر واصفر^[6] كالقلقطار وما استفاد من قوه النحاس
اخضر ولذلك ما امكن ان يعمل بهذه الصناعة واما الزريق فكانه ماء
خالطته ارضية لطيفة جداً كبريتية مخالطة شديدة حتى انه لا ينفرد منه
سطح^[7] لا^[8] يغشاه^[9] من تلك اليوسه شيء فلذلك لا يعلق^[10] باليد

1. الجلبيه .
2. C inserts ما .
3. بـ الخمير .
4. الرجاجات .
5. C omits و .
6. اصفر واحمر C .
7. سطح C .
8. A الا .
9. A نعشاء ; بـ غشيه .
10. A يتعلق .

ولا يخص
اللهم الا
التي فيه
الكباريت
ان يكود
تصير اليه
محمرا واما
قبل الحمر

النارية ولذلك [٤] ما يعلق [٥] الزيف بهذه الاجساد كلها لانه من جوهرها
لكن هذه الاجساد مختلف تكوئنها عنه بسبب اختلاف الزيف او ما [٦] يجري
بجراه في نفسه وبسبب اختلاف ما يخالطه حتى يعقده فان كان الزيف تقينا
وكان ما يخالطه يعقده قوة كبريت ايض غير محرق ولا درن بل هو افضل
ما يخذ اهل الحيلة منه كان منه الفضة فان كان الكبريت مع تقائمه افضل
من ذلك وانصح وكان فيه قوة صباغة نارية لطيفة غير محرقة افضل من
الذى يخذه اهل الحيلة عده ذهبا ثم ان كان الزيف جيد الجوهر ولكن
الكبريت الذى يعده غير تقى بل فيه احتراقية كان منه مثل النحاس وان

1. مجازة .
2. للهوايئة .
3. اذاب .
4. كذلك .
5. تعلق .
6. A, B و ما .

كان الزيق رديا دنسا [١] متخلخلا ارضيا وكان كبريته نجسا [٢] ايضاً كان منه الحديد واما الرصاص القلعي فيشبه ان يكون زيقه جيدا الا ان كبريته ردي وغير شديد المخالطة وكانه يدخل [٣] اياد سافا فلذلك يصر واما الانك فيشبه ان يكون ردي الزيق قليلة طينية [٤] ويكون كبريته رديا منتنا ضعيفا فلذلك لم يستحكم انعقاده وليس يبعد ان يحاول [٥] اصحاب الحيل حيلا يصير بها احوال انعقادات الزيق بالكباريت [٦] انعقادات محسوسة بالصناعة وان لم يكن الاحوال الصناعية على حكم الطبيعة وعلى صحتها [٧] بل يكون مشابهة ومقارنة [٨] لذلك فيقع التصديق بان جهة كونها في الطبيعة هذه الجهة او مقاربة لها الا ان الصناعة تصر في ذلك عن الطبيعة ولا يلحقها وان اجتهدت واما ما يدعوه اصحاب الكيماء فيجب ان يعلم انه ليس في ايديهم ان يقلبوا الانواع قلبا حقيقة لكن في ايديهم تشبيهات حسنة حتى يصبغوا الاحمر صبغة ايض شديد الشبه بالفضة ويصبغونه صبغة اصفر شديد الشبه بالذهب وان [٩] يصبغوا الایض ايضا اى صبغة شاؤوا حتى يشتد شبهه بالذهب او النحاس وان يسلبوا الرصاصات اكثر ما

1. A, B . يابسا .
2. A ; نحسا .
3. مداخل .
4. B طينة C ; منتنة طمة .
5. يحال .
6. A . والكباريت .
7. A C ; صحة .
8. مقاومة .
9. C . فان .

فيها من النقص والعيوب الا ان جواهرها تكون محفوظة وانما يغلب عليها
كيفيات مستفادة بحيث يغلط في امرها كما ان للناس ان يخذوا الملح والقلند
والتوشادر وغيره ولا امنع ان يلغى في التدقيق مبلغا^[1] يختفي الامر فيه على
الفرقة واما ان يكون^[2] الفصل المنوع يسلب او يكسي فلم يتبين لي امكانه
بل بعيد^[3] عندي جوازه اذ لا سبيل الى حل المزاج الى المزاج الآخر
فإن هذه الاحوال المحسوسة يشبه ان لا تكون هي الفصول التي بها تصير
هذه الاجسام انواعاً بل هي عوارض ولوازم وفصولها مجهمولة واذا كان الشيء
مجهمولاً كيف يمكن ان يقصد قصد ايجاده او افقاده واما سلخ هذه الاصباغ
والاعراض من الروائح والاوذان او كسوها فهذا مما لا يجب ان نصرّ على
تجده لفقدان العلم به فليس يقوم البته برهان على امتناعه ويشبه ان يكون
النسبة التي بين العناصر في تركيب كل جوهر من هذه المعدودة غيره في
التركيب الآخر واذا كان كذلك لم يعد اليه^[4] الا ان يفك التركيب اعادة
اياه الى تركيب ما يراد احالته اليه^[5] وليس ذلك مما يمكن باذابة يحفظ
الاتصال وانما يختلط به شيء غريب او قوة غريبة ولنا في هذا كلام طويل
لو شئنا لقلناه لكن الفائدة في ذلك قليلة وال الحاجة عنه منقطعة في هذا الباب

[تم الكتاب]

1. مبلغ C.
2. ان يكون C omits.
3. بعد C.
4. عليه A.
5. C omits اليه.

LIBRAIRIE ORIENTALISTE PAUL GEUTHNER

science nautique : Sindbad le Marin, Abou Zeid, les Merveilles de l'Inde, Cheikh Mâjid, Ibn Mâjid, Soléiman el-Mehri. — *Les grands voyageurs* : al-Bîrouni, Ibn Djebér, Ibn Batouta. — *Les sciences exactes, l'arithmétique et l'algèbre* : Al-Kharizmi, Omar Khéyâm, — *la géométrie* : les Banou Mousa, Thabit fils de Korrâh, Nasir ed-Din Tousi, — *la mécanique* : Ahmed fils de Mousa, Bédi'oz-Zéman el-Djazari, el-Khatzini, — *l'astronomie* : Fazâri, al-Battâni, Abou'l-Wéfa, Al-Bîrouni, Zarkali, al-Bîtroudji, Jay-Singh, Ibn el-Hatham, — *les sciences naturelles, la médecine* : Râzès, Avicenne, Ibn Zohr, Abou'l-Kassis, Ibn el-Beithar, — *l'histoire naturelle* : Ibn el-Awam, Danûri, — *minéralogie et alchimie* : Khalid et Geber.

TOME III : L'Exégèse, la Tradition et la Jurisprudence, 423 pages, 1922.

La Perse avant l'Islam : Anochirvan, Hormuzd, Eperwitz, — *l'Arabie avant l'Islam*, — *vie de Mahomet* (forme traditionnelle), *critique de la vie de Mahomet*, *critique du Coran*, — *la conquête arabe*, — *les Khalifes Omeyyades* : Ôthman, Moâwiya, 'Abd el-Mâlik et Wérid, el-Hedjâdj, — *la tradition* : Ibn 'Abbâs, Ayechâ, Bokhari Moslem, Nésîfi, Ibn Firâshah, — *la jurisprudence, les grandes imâms, fondateurs de rites* : Abou Hanîfah, Mâlik, Châfi'i, Ibn Hazm, — *analyse d'ouvrages célèbres* : Abou Yousof, Mawerdi, Sidi Khalil, Gazali, Nawâfi, — *les commentateurs du Coran* : Tabari, Zamaklachâri.

TOME IV : La Scholastique, la Théologie et la Mystique. — La Musique, 384 pages, 1923.

La Scholastique, Ecole orientale : El-Kindi, Farabi, Avicenne, — *Ecole occidentale* : Princes Almohades, Ibn Tofail, Averroës, — *les Sociétés de Philosophes* : les Sociétés secrètes, les Sabéens, les Frères de la pureté, — *la théologie* : le Kalâm, Motekallîms et Motazîlites, Ibn Hanbal, Ach'âri, Gazâli, Nésîfi, el-Idjî, — *la mystique* : Hudjîvîri et Sulhrawardi, El-Hellâdj et sa « passion », Ibn 'Arabi, Ibn el-Fârid, Vies de Soufîs, — *les sceptiques* : Aboul-'Alâ de Ma'arrâb, Omar Khéyâm, Hâfiz et les Ghazels, Fozouli, — *les poètes persans* : Sadi, Férid ed-Dîn Attar, Djelâl el-Dîn Roumi, Djami, Welchî, — *la musique* : origines, le « Livre des Chansons », chanteurs et chanteuses, musique et religion, théorie de la musique arabe, Farabi, Safl ed-Dîn.

TOME V : Les Sectes, le Libéralisme moderne, 431 pages, 1926.

1^{re} PARTIE : *Les Sectes, le Chiisme et ses dérives*, — *Ali et le Chiisme*, — *les Ismaïliens*, — *les Druzes*, — *le Bâbisme*, — 2^e PARTIE : *Pénétration des idées européennes dans l'Islam*. Le libéralisme moderne, — *la Turquie moderne*, — *les réformes*, — *la Jeune Turquie*, — *le haut enseignement*, — *l'Egypte moderne, formation de l'Egypte moderne* : Méhémet-Ali, Rifa'a Bey, — *l'Esprit moderne en religion* : el-Azhar, Cheikh 'Abdo, Cheikh Tantawi, — *le Nationalisme* : Mustafa-Kâimel, Sa'd Zaghloul, — *Arabie et Afrique* : les Wahabis, le Soudan, Tomboutou, les Universités de Tunis et de Fez, — *l'Inde moderne* : les Sikhs, la révolte des Cipayes, Ecole du Brahmo-Samadj, Syed Ahmed Khan et l'Université d'Alygarh, — *la Perse, la Tartarie, la femme* : poètes franco-persans actuels, 'Inyat Khan, Sadry Maksoudoff, — *la musulmane moderne, Islam et Orient*. — Notes. — Correspondance des dates musulmanes et chrétiennes.

FERRAND (G.). Instructions nautiques et routiers arabes et portugais des XV^e et XVI^e siècles reproduits, traduits et annotés :

TOME I : Ibn Majid. Le pilote des mers de l'Inde, de la Chine et de l'Indonésie, par Shihab ad-Din Ahmad bin Majid, dit « le lion de la mer », *texte arabe*, reproduction phototypique du MS. 2292 de la Bibliothèque Nationale publié par G. FERRAND, 362 planches en phototypie du texte arabe, III, 8 pp., in-8, 1923. 250 fr.

L'œuvre nautique de Ibn Majid qui a été rédigée entre 1462 et 1489-90, apparaît comme la somme des connaissances acquises de son temps tant dans la théorie que dans la pratique de la navigation. *C'est donc plus et mieux que le résultat d'une expérience et de travaux personnels : nous devons tenir ces documents pour une sorte de synthèse de la science nautique dans les dernières années du Moyen-Âge.*

Ibn Majid est par ailleurs un personnage historique de marque. *Il sera établi par des documents décisifs qu'il fut le pilote arabe qui conduisit de Malindi, sur la côte orientale d'Afrique, à Calicut, l'escadre portugaise qui commandait Vasco de Gama.*

Les œuvres d'Ibn Majid que nous intitulons « Le pilote des mers de l'Inde, de la Chine et de l'Indonésie » comprennent 22 traités en prose et en vers.

TOME II : Sulaymân al-Mâhri et Ibn Majid. Le pilote des mers de l'Inde, de la Chine et de l'Indonésie, par Sulaymân al-Mâhri et Shihab ad-Din Ahmad bin Majid, *texte arabe*, reproduction phototypique du MS. 2559 de la Bibliothèque Nationale de Paris, publié par G. FERRAND, 288 planches en phototypie du texte arabe, IX et 9 pp., in-8, 1925. 200 fr.

FERRAND (G.). Le Tuhfat al-Albâb de Abu Hamid al Andalusi al Gar-nati (texte arabe), édité d'après les Ms. 2167, 2168, 2170 de la Bibliothèque Nationale et le Ms. d'Alger (et traduction partielle du texte), 8 planches, 260 pp. in-8 (T. Journal Asiatique), 1925. 50 fr.

Les manuscrits du *Tuhfat al-Albâb* — Biographie d'Abû Hâmid. — Le *Tuhfat al-Albâb*. — Bibliographie. — **TEXTE ARABE**. — Résumé analytique et traduction partielle du texte. — Notes complémentaires. — Additions et corrections. — Index général. — Index des ouvrages orientaux. Le *Tuhfat al-Albâb* a été rédigé en 1162, alors que l'auteur se trouvait à Mossoul. Abû Hâmid l'Espagnol est né à Grenade en 1090 et mort à Damas en 1170. Depuis son premier voyage (1115) en Egypte, il n'a pas cessé de voyager et séjourner à l'étranger, en Sardaigne, Cécile, à Alexandrie, au Caire, Damas, Bagdad, en Perse, à Saksîn, Bulgar de la Volga, Bactres, à Basgîrd (la Hongrie), dans le Khorâsan et enfin en Syrie. Les renseignements qu'il nous donne sur ces différents pays et partiellement sur l'Egypte sont de première importance. La traduction complète de ce texte arabe paraîtra prochainement dans la *Bibliothèque des géographes arabes* que publie ma librairie.

GOLDZIHER (J.). Le dogme et la loi de l'Islam ; histoire du développement dogmatique et juridique de la religion musulmane, traduction de F. ARIN, VIII-315 pp., gr. in-8, 1920. 50 fr.

Muhammed et l'Islam. — Le développement juridique. — Développement dogmatique. — Ascétisme et sufisme. — Les sectes. — Formations postérieures.

LIBRAIRIE ORIENTALISTE PAUL GEUTHNER

- GUIDI (I.). L'Arabie antéislamique, 108 pp., in-16, 1921 12 fr. 50**
Les royaumes de l'Arabie septentrionale et centrale avant Mahomet. — Les progrès intellectuels chez les Arabes. — Les progrès matériels. — Les Arabes du sud et l'Abyssinie.
- HOLMYARD (E.-J.). Kitab al 'Ilm Al-Muktasab fi Zira'at adh-Dhahab, book of Knowledge acquired concerning the cultivation of Gold the arabic text edited with a translation and introduction. 62, 53 pp., in-8, 1925 40 fr.**
El-Muktasab contains an exposition of the theory of transmutation of metals and directions for compounding the elixirs required to turn silver, copper and the other metals into gold. All that seems to be known of El-Iraqi is that he enjoyed some considerable repute as a writer on alchemy and similar subjects. He is believed to have flourished in the seventh (thirteenth) century. His book is constructed on a methodical plan and written in plain language. A commentary on it by Jildaki eighth (fourteenth) century is in existence, and has been used in the preparation of this edition.
*No one need feel surprised if he finds the directions impossible to carry out, but as material for the history of alchemy, El-Muktasab is clearly of considerable value. It compares very favourably with the obscure and enigmatical works, like those of Jabir, published by Berthelot, or the treatise of Iba Bashrun, included by Ibn Khaldoun in his Prolegomena, which make up a large proportion of the few Arabic alchemical books which have been printed hitherto. The theory and practice described both look as if they dated from pre-Islamic times, and it seems to be very difficult to discover any indication of independent practical knowledge on the part of the author. Mr. Holmyard will no doubt consider these questions in a later volume which he promises. He is to be thanked for a useful introduction and a carefully edited text. His translation ..., is generally very successful in conveying the meaning and overcoming a number of not inconsiderable difficulties. The edition altogether is a good sound piece of work (Review in *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*).*
- IBN KHALDOUN. Histoire des Berbères et des dynasties musulmanes de l'Afrique Septentrionale, traduite par le Baron de SLANE, nouvelle édition autorisée, publiée avec notice sur Ibn-Khaldoùn, bibliographie d'Ibn-Khaldoùn et table générale par PAUL CASANOVA, 5 volumes, 1925-1928 350 fr.**
Payable à la livraison des deux premiers tomes.
Tome I^r : LXVI et 452 pp., gr. in-8, 1925. — Tome II : 605 pp., gr. in-8, 1927. —
Tome III : Sous presse. — Tomes IV-V : en préparation.
Il n'est accepté que des souscriptions à l'ouvrage complet.
La notice sur IBN-KHALDOUN, la bibliographie et les tables générales de l'Histoire des Berbères formeront le tome V. — Les tables des 4 volumes de l'édition ancienne seront réunies en une seule.
- MASSIGNON (L.). Essai sur les origines du lexique technique de la mystique Musulmane, 303 pp. de texte et de 104 pp. de textes arabes, in-8 raisin 100 fr.**
I : Liste alphabétique des termes techniques de la mystique relevés dans les œuvres d'al-Hallaj. —
II : Analyse du Lexique. — III : Conclusions générales. — IV : Les premières vocations mystiques en Islam. — V : Les écoles du m^e siècle de l'hégire.
- MASSIGNON (L.). La passion d'Al-Hosayn-Ibn Mansour- al-Hallaj martyr mystique de l'Islam exécuté à Bagdad le 26 mars 922. Etude historique religieuse, 28 pl., 2 vol. in-8 raisin (I-100 pp.), 1922 200 fr.**
TOME I : I : La biographie. — II : Les années d'apprentissage. — III : Les voyages et l'apostolat. — IV : La prédication publique et l'inculpation politique (la prédication publique à Bagdad); l'inculpation politique : la da'wat al-roboûbiyah, usurpation du pouvoir suprême de Dieu, du droit divin. — V : L'accusation, le tribunal et les acteurs du drame. — VI : Les procès. — VII : Le martyre. — VIII : Al-Hallaj devant l'Islam. — IX : Al-Hallaj devant le soufisme. — X : La légende.
TOME II : XI : Théologie mystique. — XII : Théologie dogmatique d'Al-Hallaj. — XIII : Les conséquences juridiques ; et les objections adressées à cette doctrine. — XIV : Les œuvres d'Al-Hallaj. — XV : Bibliographie hallagienne. — Index.
- EN NACIRI (Ahmed ben Khaled, es-Slaoui). Kitab el-istiqa li akhbar doual el-Maghrib el-Aqqa. (Histoire du Maroc). Tome premier, traduction de A. GRAULLE, VIII, 302 pp., in-8. Archiv. Maroc, XXX, 1923 40 fr.**
Introduction (par A. GRAULLE) : Essai de reconstitution de l'histoire ancienne du Maroc, de l'époque légendaire à la conquête arabe. — Biographie de l'auteur par les Fils Ja'far en-Naciri et Mohammed en-Naciri. — Les Califes orthodoxes. — Les Berbères (origine et histoire). — Index alphabétique.
Tome deuxième. — Les Idrisides, traduction de A. GRAULLE. — Les Almoravides, traduction de G.-S. COLLIN, 1 tableau, 238 pp., gr. in-8, 1925. Archiv. Maroc. Tome XXXI.
Dynastie des Idrisites. — Dynastie zénète. — Dynastie des Almoravides. — Index.
- ROUSSEAU (G.). La Mausolée des princes Sa'diens à Marrakech, préface par EDMOND DOUTTÉ, texte arabe et traduction des Inscriptions par FÉLIX ARIN. Aquarelles, dessins et relevés de l'auteur.**
Un volume de texte de 3 plans, XXII et 71 pp., gr. in-4, broché, 1925.
(Préface de M. EDMOND DOUTTÉ). — Description du Cimetière et des Qoubbas. — Les inscriptions arabes. — Répertoire des Tombeaux et des Inscriptions funéraires.
- Un Atlas de IX pp., 16 planches en couleurs d'après les aquarelles originales reproduisant les aspects pittoresques des mausolées, le détail de la décoration murale et mosaïques, etc., 32 planches en phototypie, reproduisant les détails architecturaux et les motifs décoratifs des deux mausolées, 2 planches en simili-gravure et 33 planches en photolithographie reproduisant les dessins de l'auteur d'après estampages et les études d'après nature, soit 83 planches, gr. in-4, sous cartonnage, 1925 350 fr.*